



Master Thesis

Open Sourcing Evidence from the Internet

The protection of privacy in civilian criminal investigations
using OSINT (open-source intelligence)

Leonore ten Hulsen
2644070

Word Count: 18.795

Supervisor:
Dr. mr. A.E. de Hingh
Master of Law
Specialization: Internet, IP & IT-law (Dutch track)
Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Autumn 2019
06-12-2019

Abstract

This thesis explores the relationship between open-source intelligence and privacy in the context of civilian criminal investigations. The purpose of this thesis is to reach a better understanding of the way in which privacy can be protected in a changing landscape of criminal investigations. The existing legal mechanisms that could apply to open-source intelligence (OSINT) and civilian criminal investigations are discussed but a lack of suitable regulations is identified. This leads to privacy concerns and a new type of vigilante justice, which yield potentially dangerous consequences for our society. This thesis also discusses the legal, political and ethical implications of OSINT on the traditional privacy framework with the use of a case study. A paradoxical situation is identified, in which publicly available information is thought to be free from privacy concerns based on the fact that it is publicly available, although the information can be (sensitive) personal information and therefore inherently private. A theoretical solution is proposed to fill this lacuna in the law, consisting of a combination of Nissenbaum's theory on privacy as contextual integrity and Koops' theory on a new privacy proxy of a digital home right. This could provide legal privacy protection in civilian criminal investigations using OSINT, creating a just balance between investigation interests and privacy concerns. This research can serve as a guideline when drafting future privacy regulations regarding open-source intelligence and civilian criminal investigations.

Table of Contents

Abstract	2
List of acronyms and abbreviations	5
Introduction	6
Bellingcat	8
Shahin Gheyibe	9
I. Methodology	12
1. Normative Framework	12
2. Hermeneutic Interpretation Method	13
3. Internal-Legal and External-Normative Perspective	13
4. Multidisciplinary Research	13
5. Case Study	14
6. Qualification of Recommendations	15
II. The Legal Basis of Traditional Criminal Investigations	16
1. Traditional Theories and Principles on Privacy	16
2. Privacy and European Fundamental Rights	18
2.1. The ECHR and the ECtHR	18
2.2. The EU Charter and the CJEU	20
3. The Police Directive	21
4. The Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime	23
5. Dutch Legal Framework of Criminal Investigations	24
5.1. The Renewing of the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure	26
6. Sub-conclusion	28
III. The Legal Basis of Civilian Criminal Investigations	30
1. The Changing Landscape of Criminal Investigations	30
2. The Changing Landscape of Justice Administration	31
3. The General Data Protection Regulation: the GDPR	34
4. Self-regulation by Private Actors	36
5. Sub-conclusion	37
IV. The Horizontal Effect of Fundamental Rights	38
1. Horizontal Effect of EU Fundamental Rights Law	38
2. The Case Study of Shahin Gheyibe	39
3. Bellingcat's Right to Freedom of Expression and Information	41
4. Shahin Gheyibe's Right to Private Life	42
5. Balancing the Fundamental Rights	43
6. Sub-conclusion	45

V. Ethical and Political Considerations on Civilian Criminal Investigations	47
1. Reliability of OSINT	47
2. Transparency of OSINT	48
3. Effectiveness of OSINT	50
4. Online Vigilante Justice	52
5. The Need for Regulation	54
6. Sub-conclusion	55
VI. Alternative Theories on Privacy in relation to OSINT	56
1. The Problems with the Traditional Three Principles of Privacy	56
2. Privacy as Contextual Integrity	58
2.1. Norms of Appropriateness	58
2.2. Norms of Flow or Distribution	59
3. OSINT, Contextual Integrity and Privacy Protection	59
4. The Case-study of Shahin Gheybe through the Lens of Contextual Integrity	61
4.1. Mrs. Nasiri	63
5. The Legal Conceptualization of OSINT: Proxies of Privacy	64
6. A New Proxy of Privacy: The Digital Home	66
7. Sub-conclusion	68
Conclusion	69
For Further Research	70
Closing Remarks	70
Bibliography	71
Primary Sources	71
Secondary Sources	72
Internet Sources	75
European Case Law	78
European Court of Human Rights Case Law	78
Dutch Case Law	78
Appendix: Email Correspondence with Henk van Ess	79

List of acronyms and abbreviations

CCC	the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EU Charter	Charter of fundamental rights of the European Union
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
IBA	The International Bar Association
OSINT	Open-Source Intelligence

Introduction

The role of civilians in criminal investigations is changing due to our increasingly digitalized society.¹ The rapid technological developments create substantial disruptions, having an impact in every sphere of human activities.² Where once the Apollo 11-computer – the computer used to put the first humans on the moon – had a storage capacity of 32kb, the newest iPhone Xs can have up to 512Gb of storage, equivalent to more than 16 million times the storage capacity of Apollo 11.³ Moreover, the possibilities that computers and the internet hold are no longer reserved for a few, with over 98% of people in the Netherlands having access to the internet in 2018.⁴ The internet and the World Wide Web have provided us with a platform to share and gather information, which has fundamentally changed our relationship to accessing information and problem-solving. The internet has made vast amounts of data more accessible than ever before.⁵

This includes lots of publicly available data, also referred to as open-source information, which this thesis defines in accordance with Klitou's definition as 'anything publicly available, whether online or offline, such as blogs, tweets, information posted on social networking sites, videos, web chats or any other user-generated content, (online) news, websites, public data, geospatial data, books, academic papers, newspapers, magazines and even book or movie reviews'.⁶

These online data can be used for open-source intelligence (OSINT). OSINT is an intelligence gathering discipline which this thesis defines in accordance with Best's definition as 'the retrieval, extraction and analysis of information from publicly available sources'.⁷ Governmental, non-profit and business organizations alike recognize the value of open-

¹ Eelco Moerman, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' (2019) 94 NJB 1, 1.

² Marinko Maslarić, Svetlana Nikoličić and Dejan Mirčetić, 'Logistics Response to the Industry 4.0: The Physical Internet' (2016) 6(1) Open Engineering 511, 511.

³ Jan-Jaap Oerlemans, 'Beschouwing Rapport Commissie-Koops: Strafvordering het Digitale Tijdperk' [2018] Boom Juridisch 1, 1.

⁴ Excluding the age group 65+, the percentage of internet users in that group is somewhat lower, at 86.4% in 2018. See: CBS, 'Internet; Toegang, Gebruik en Faciliteiten' (31 October 2018)

<<https://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=83429NED&D1=0,2-5&D2=0,3-6&D3=0&D4=a&HDR=T&STB=G1,G2,G3&VW=T>> accessed 28 March 2019.

⁵ Michael Glassman & Min Ju Kang, 'Intelligence in the Internet Age: The Emergence and Evolution of Open Source Intelligence (OSINT)' (2012) 28(2) Computers in Human Behaviour 673, 674.

⁶ The terms publicly available data or information and open-source data or information will be used interchangeably throughout this thesis; Demetrius Klitou, 'Privacy-Invading Technologies: Safeguarding Privacy, Liberty & Security in the 21st Century' [2012] Centre for Law in the Information Society, Faculty of Law, Leiden University 1, 61.

⁷ Clive Best, 'Open Source Intelligence' in Françoise Fogelman-Soulié, Domenico Perrotta, Jakub Piskorski and Ralf Steinberger (eds), *Mining Massive Data Sets for Security: Advances in Data Mining, Search, Social Networks and Text Mining and Their Applications to Security* (IOS Press 2008), 331.

source information as it provides strategic, fast and cost-effective intelligence sources.⁸ Initiatives like the IEEE Intelligent Conference on Intelligence and Security Informatics (ISI),⁹ and the EUROSINT Forum¹⁰ are a result of the growing interest in this type of research.¹¹

Open-source intelligence is also increasingly accepted as evidence in court. In 2018, the ICC issued an arrest warrant for the Libyan terrorist leader Mahmoud Mustafa Busayf Al-Werfalli based almost exclusively on video clips from social media.¹² It shows the bigger tendency of officials and institutions within politics and law to realize the power that is vested in the digital sphere.

Where the police once held the monopoly on investigating criminal activities, the internet has opened up this possibility to many other interested parties. Civilians have the internet to use their voice and skills, enabling them to access data about crimes once only available to the police, empowering them to do their own research.¹³ This research has been referred to as civilian policing or civilian criminal investigations, defined as ‘forms of online collective action aimed at pooling resources in order to investigate online crime’.¹⁴

Use of OSINT by state authorities could pose privacy challenges, but less attention has been given to the potentially problematic privacy concerns posed by civilian criminal investigations by means of OSINT,¹⁵ even though civilian investigators or ‘netizens’, can also include internet vigilantes.¹⁶

⁸ Clive Best, ‘Open Source Intelligence’ in Françoise Fogelman-Soulié, Domenico Perrotta, Jakub Piskorski and Ralf Steinberger (eds), *Mining Massive Data Sets for Security: Advances in Data Mining, Search, Social Networks and Text Mining and Their Applications to Security* (IOS Press 2008), 332.

⁹ The IEEE ISI is an International scientific conference on interdisciplinary research on information technology for intelligence, safety and security, see: <www.ieee-itss.org/isi> accessed 20 March 2019.

¹⁰ The EUROSINT Forum is a European non-profit association focused on preventing threats to peace and security through open-source intelligence and include governmental organisations, universities and (non-)profit organisations, see: <www.eurosint.eu> accessed 20 March 2019.

¹¹ Clive Best, ‘Open Source Intelligence’ in Françoise Fogelman-Soulié, Domenico Perrotta, Jakub Piskorski and Ralf Steinberger (eds), *Mining Massive Data Sets for Security: Advances in Data Mining, Search, Social Networks and Text Mining and Their Applications to Security* (IOS Press 2008), 332.

¹² Menno Sedee, ‘Bellingcat-oprichter: ‘Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant’ *NRC* (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019; Hans Pool, *Bellingcat - Truth in a Post-Truth World* (VPRO 2Doc Documentary 2018) <www.2doc.nl/documentaires/series/2doc/2018/november/bellingcat.html> accessed 27 March 2019.

¹³ Eelco Moerman, ‘Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk’ (2019) 94 *NJB* 1, 2.

¹⁴ In Huey et al. the definition also contains ‘and report information to law enforcement’, but this thesis will not focus exclusively on civilians seeking to assist the police and therefore leave this out of the definition. Laura Huey, Johnny Nhan and Ryan Broll, ‘Uppity Civilians’ And ‘Cyber-Vigilantes’: The Role Of The General Public In Policing Cyber-Crime’ (2012) 13 *Criminology & Criminal Justice* 81, 83.

¹⁵ Bert-Jaap Koops, Jaap-Henk Hoepman and Ronald Leenes, ‘Open-Source Intelligence and Privacy by Design’ (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 676, 677.

¹⁶ I define internet vigilantes, also called digilantes or netilantists, as internet users that engage in online activity including ‘scam baiting, public shaming, distributed denial of service attack, google bombing, identity theft activism, anti-paedophile activism and counter-terrorism’, see: Ramesh Palvai, ‘Internet Vigilantism, Ethics and

Civilian policing of the internet is both relevant and prevalent in today's society,¹⁷ and therefore civilian criminal investigations by means of OSINT will be the focus of this thesis. Both civilian investigators aiming at aiding law enforcement and internet vigilantes or 'digilantes'¹⁸ creating their own version of vigilante justice through measures like doxxing¹⁹ or online shaming, will be discussed.

Bellingcat

One of the private parties making use of OSINT is Bellingcat, a UK-based open-source investigation platform run by volunteering civilians who, in their own words, 'use open source and social media to investigate a variety of subjects, from Mexican drug lords to conflicts being fought across the world'.²⁰ Oftentimes they use crowdsourcing to aid their investigation, using the power of the crowd to their advantage instead of hiring specialists. Since its establishment in 2014, Bellingcat has gained global fame and acknowledgement, *inter alia* for its contribution to the MH17 research and its research on the suspects of the poisoning of the Russian ex-spy Sergej Skripal and his daughter in Salisbury in March 2018.²¹

In November 2018, Bellingcat announced the opening of a new permanent office in The Hague to help the International Criminal Court (ICC) archive open-source information to use as proof in criminal proceedings later on.²² Moreover, it is planning on setting up teams in various Dutch cities to research local issues according to the 'Bellingcat Method'.²³ This

Democracy' (2016) 1 Anveshana's International Journal of Research in Regional Studies, Law, Social Sciences, Journalism and Management Practices 124, 124. This differs from a civilian criminal investigator, as the latter does not necessarily have to partake in online vigilante justice.

¹⁷ Laura Huey, Johnny Nhan and Ryan Broll, 'Uppity Civilians' And 'Cyber-Vigilantes': The Role Of The General Public In Policing Cyber-Crime' (2012) 13 Criminology & Criminal Justice 81, 81-97.

¹⁸ The terms digilantes and civilian criminal investigators will be used interchangeably throughout this thesis.

¹⁹ Doxxing is defined in this thesis as the 'use of the internet to search for and publish identifying information about a particular individual, typically with malicious intent' in accordance with: Jeffrey Pittman, 'Privacy in the Age of Doxxing' (2018) 10 Southern Journal of Business & Ethics 53, 53.

²⁰ Bellingcat – 'About' (2019) <www.bellingcat.com/about/> accessed 26 March 2019.

²¹ Hans Pool, *Bellingcat - Truth in a Post-Truth World* (VPRO 2Doc Documentary 2018) <www.2doc.nl/documentaires/series/2doc/2018/november/bellingcat.html> accessed 27 March 2019; Menno Sedee, 'Bellingcat-oprichter: 'Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant' NRC (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019.

²² Menno Sedee, 'Bellingcat-oprichter: 'Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant' NRC (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019.

²³ Gijs Beukers, 'Onderzoekscollectief Bellingcat komt naar Nederland' *De Volkskrant* (2 November 2018) <www.volkskrant.nl/nieuws-achtergrond/onderzoekscollectief-bellingcat-komt-naar-nederland~be070e83/> accessed 27 March 2019; Menno Sedee, 'Bellingcat-oprichter: 'Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant' NRC (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019.

method entails looking through open-source information on platforms like YouTube, social media and Google Earth. These tools can be used to answer questions on who, what and where a certain bombing, attack or other event took place.²⁴ Interestingly, in comparison to traditional criminal investigational research by the police, Bellingcat publishes all of its methods and findings in details online. It also gives workshops to journalists, students and governmental employees on how to do their kind of open-source research most effectively.

Shahin Gheyibe

On March 19th 2019, Bellingcat released an article on localizing a Dutch criminal called Shahin Gheyibe, who escaped prison in 2011 and has been a fugitive ever since. He had been sentenced to thirteen years in prison for two attempted murders and robbing the victims of 175.000 euro.²⁵ In March 2019, he was placed on the Dutch most-wanted list of fugitive criminals.²⁶ The case caught public attention after the police spread pictures and videos of him on a Dutch national TV-show and YouTube channel, asking the public for tips about his current location.²⁷

Shahin Gheyibe seems to challenge the police by posting pictures on his Instagram with phrases like ‘catch me if you can’ and holiday pictures.²⁸ Underneath his Instagram account name, he writes ‘the world is mine’.²⁹ A week after he was placed on the Dutch most-wanted list, he uploaded a video stating people should not believe everything the media tell them and mocking the police. He continues by stating that he is going to enjoy his freedom and the nice weather, showing his belief that he is safe from being found.³⁰ His

²⁴ Menno Sedee, ‘Bellingcat-oprichter: ‘Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant’ *NRC* (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019.

²⁵ Sebastiaan Quekel, ‘Gezochte 'gangster' Schoot Zijn Zakenpartners Bijna Dood in Den Bosch: Wat Gebeurde er Tijdens de Deal?’ *Algemeen Dagblad* (6 March 2019) <www.ad.nl/den-bosch/gezochte-gangster-schoot-zijn-zakenpartners-bijna-dood-in-den-bosch-wat-gebeurde-er-tijdens-de-deal-br~a4004741/> accessed 27 July 2019.

²⁶ ‘Nationale Opsporingslijst – Shahin Gheyibe’, *politie.nl* <www.politie.nl/gezocht-en-vermist/nationale-opsporingslijst/2019/maart/shahin-gheyibe.html> accessed 28 March 2019; ‘Ontsnapte Gevangene Shahin Gheyibe (35) op Nationale Opsporingslijst’ *Avrotros Opsporing verzocht* (5 March 2019) <<https://opsporingverzocht.avrotros.nl/zaken/zaak/ontsnapte-gevangene-shahin-gheyibe-35-op-nationale-opsporingslijst/>> accessed 28 March 2019.

²⁷ ‘Ontsnapte Shahin Gheyibe (35) op Nationale Opsporingslijst’ *Opsporing Verzocht YouTube channel* (5 March 2019) <www.youtube.com/watch?v=M8LFA7XOv8U> accessed 28 March 2019.

²⁸ Henk van Ess, ‘Locating the Netherlands’ Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram’ *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 March 2019.

²⁹ Instagram, account ‘Shahin.mzr’ <www.instagram.com/shahin.mzr/> accessed 28 March 2019.

³⁰ Henk van Ess, ‘Locating the Netherlands’ Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram’ *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 March 2019.

Instagram account was on public-mode until he was put on the national most-wanted list and his escape was discussed on national television.

A week after the Dutch police asked for tips on Shahin Gheyibe's location, Bellingcat managed to track down his last known location based on his Instagram posts – over 170 pictures and videos – with the help of over 60 Twitter users.³¹ Shahin Gheyibe himself confirmed that the house Bellingcat found was his most recent location, although it is unclear whether he is still residing there.³² Even though the criminal himself cannot be arrested yet because of a lack of extradition agreements between Iran and the Netherlands, this case shows the potential impact civilian criminal investigations using OSINT can yield. This thesis will use the case study of Bellingcat's research on Shahin Gheyibe to answer the following research question:

Do civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects and if so, how can their privacy be protected?

This paper is structured as follows. Firstly, the legal framework of traditional and civilian criminal investigations is discussed, including when restrictions of privacy are granted. This is done in light of the changing landscape of criminal investigations and the emergence of digilantes and online vigilante justice with the aim of researching the privacy impact of OSINT, while focusing on civilian criminal investigations.

Afterwards, the horizontal direct effect of fundamental rights³³ is discussed in light of the case-study, to continue the evaluation of whether privacy violations occurred in Bellingcat's research specifically. This is important in light of the research question as it will exemplify, with the use of a case study, what the difficulty is in assessing whether civilian criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects.

Subsequently, an analysis follows of the ethical and political desirability of the practice of OSINT, civilian criminal investigations and vigilante justice, as it is necessary to qualify the use of the practice before proposing methods to regulate it.

Lastly, the political philosophical framework of privacy is elaborated upon and the influence that civilian criminal investigations have by means of OSINT on the privacy of

³¹ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 March 2019.

³² Twitter, account 'Henkvaness', <https://twitter.com/henkvaness/status/1108679041274560512/photo/1?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw%7Ctwcamp%5Eetweentembed%7Ctwtterm%5E1108679041274560512&ref_url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.bellingcat.com%2Fnews%2Fuk-and-europe%2F2019%2F03%2F19%2Flocating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram%2F> accessed 28 March 2019.

³³ The horizontal direct effect of fundamental rights means that fundamental rights

their suspects. This includes discussing how public open-source information truly is, or should be.

This thesis argues that current regulations are not yet adapted to the privacy challenges posed by OSINT. A mixture between Koop's proxy of a digital home, specified on certain cyberspaces, and Nissenbaum's theory on privacy as contextual integrity is suggested, to ensure more effective privacy protection.

This thesis aims to give coherent recommendations on a possible legal framework to protect privacy in civilian criminal investigations by means of OSINT, as well as ensuring the maintenance of an effective judicial system in a digitalizing society.

I. Methodology

The purpose of this chapter is to explain how this research has been conducted and clarify the way topics have been analysed and findings are interpreted. The aim of this thesis is to analyse and clarify how civilians' open-source investigations impact the right to privacy. To do so, research has been conducted through literature review and usage of online academic articles found through search engines such as Legal Intelligence, Rechtspraak.nl, Google Scholar, Ecosia and DuckDuckGo as well as offline methods like library research and book reviews. Different search engines were used in an attempt to combat the filter bubble used by personalized search engines and to avoid presenting only one-sided information.³⁴

1. Normative Framework

As this thesis researches a topic intertwined with recent societal developments, Van Klink and Poort's theory on law³⁵ is used. This thesis takes on the view that the main task of legal research is to provide legal descriptions and assessment of legal standards in light of current developments in society and the law.³⁶ This theory regards legal research as a relative autonomous science focused on normative questions that use a value-based approach – which looks at the underlying norms and values of law – to strengthen and clarify the normative basis of law and legal research.³⁷

This requires us to strengthen the normative base of law instead of shaping legal research towards empirical research. In this thesis, the normative claims are based on the assumption that laws are a suitable instrument to protect human rights, which is based on the omnipresence of international human rights legislation such as ECHR, Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU, Universal Declaration of Human rights, ASEAN Human Rights Declaration and many more, as well as the existence of human rights instruments and courts.

Moreover, the assumption is made that privacy is a fundamental value and human right worth protecting. The discussion on the importance or redundancy of privacy in our

³⁴ Engin Bozdog and Jeroen van den Hoven, 'Breaking The Filter Bubble: Democracy and Design' (2015) 17 *Ethics and Information Technology* 249, 249.

³⁵ Bart van Klink and Lonke Poort, 'De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap' (2013) 6 *RM Themis* 258, 258 – 278.

³⁶ Bart van Klink and Lonke Poort, 'De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap' (2013) 6 *RM Themis* 258, 264.

³⁷ Bart van Klink and Lonke Poort, 'De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap' (2013) 6 *RM Themis* 258, 259.

society as such exceeds the scope of this research.

2. Hermeneutic Interpretation Method

When analysing societal and legal questions, the evaluation of literature will be undeniably normative. The hermeneutic interpretation method is used for this research, which looks at the wider picture and context of a legal rule, text or case. Within the hermeneutic method, the focus lays on argumentation as a justification for certain choices, as text can often be explained in multiple ways.³⁸

3. Internal-Legal and External-Normative Perspective

Van Klink and Poort assume that law takes in an independent position and is not always interconnected to legal practice. This paper follows the belief that legal research does not necessarily have to be restrained to the standards of law, but can also include those of other disciplines, also called the external-normative perspective.³⁹ Therefore, both an internal-legal perspective on law and an external-normative perspective are used to answer the research question. An internal-legal perspective assumes ‘sharing the perspective of judges, lawyers, legislators or citizens who engage in legal practice’⁴⁰ whereas an external-normative perspective also uses non-legal standards from other disciplines.

Both these views are important because this paper does not only want to research whether the practices of open-source civilian investigations are in accordance with the existing legal framework, but also whether regulating these practices is desirable, useful, effective or efficient from a political or moral perspective.⁴¹

4. Multidisciplinary Research

This thesis follows Westerman and Wissink’s vision that it is one of the main tasks of the discipline of law to respond adequately to new societal developments, like digitalisation, and to look at other disciplines when trying to understand these developments.⁴² Considering the

³⁸ Bart van Klink and Lonneke Poort, ‘De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap’ (2013) 6 RM Themis 258, 260.

³⁹ Bart van Klink and Lonneke Poort, ‘De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap’ (2013) 6 RM Themis 258, 262.

⁴⁰ Sanne Taekema ‘Relative Autonomy: A Characterization of the Discipline of Law’ (2010) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1579992>> accessed 4 August 2019 1, 7. See also: Bart van Klink and Lonneke Poort, ‘De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap’ (2013) 6 RM Themis 258, 260.

⁴¹ Bart van Klink and Lonneke Poort, ‘De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap’ (2013) 6 RM Themis 258, 260.

⁴² Pauline Westerman and Marc Wissink, ‘Rechtsgeleerdheid als rechtswetenschap’ (2008) 9 Nederlands Juristenblad, 503 – 507.

inclusion of the extern-normative perspective, this research can be qualified as heuristic multidisciplinary research in accordance with the dynamic model of interdisciplinarity by Van Klink and Taekema.⁴³

The legal research question will be answered through legal research but will include supportive argumentation from other disciplines, namely politics and psychology. This material will be used as a source of inspiration or argumentation for legal arguments, but no real political or psychological research will be undertaken.⁴⁴

The multidisciplinary focus is chosen to provide background information and include various angles when answering the research question: do civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects and if so, how can their privacy be protected? More specifically, psychology will be used to explain what awareness people have concerning OSINT, to answer the question whether these data are to be used freely and widely without restrictions by both civilians and governmental organizations.

To give an example: some people tend to give the argument that since the information was put online publicly, anyone with access to the internet can use it freely: if people did not want it to be public, they should not have put it online in the first place. This reasoning blames the victim without taking into account other factors. Psychology helps explain victim-blaming, which is a psychological occurrence.⁴⁵

By using psychology this behaviour can be explained and provide context to a legal problem. Political and legal philosophy will be used to assess the boundaries of the right to privacy when it comes to open-source information.

5. Case Study

Having established the overall approach in this work, the use of a case study needs to be justified. As the topic of open-source civilian investigations into criminal activities is still broad, a choice has been made to focus on a case study. This will allow the research to be more specific and concrete. Bellingcat was chosen because of its clear profile as a group of civilians who specialize in open-source investigations.

⁴³ Bart van Klink and Sanne Taekema, 'On the Border. Limits and Possibilities of Interdisciplinary Research' in: Bart van Klink and Sanne Taekema (eds), *Law and Method. Interdisciplinary Research into Law* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck 2011), 8 – 32.

⁴⁴ Bart van Klink and Sanne Taekema, 'On the Border. Limits and Possibilities of Interdisciplinary Research' in: Bart van Klink and Sanne Taekema (eds), *Law and Method. Interdisciplinary Research into Law* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck 2011) 8, 9.

⁴⁵ Ronnie Janoff-Bulman, Christine Timko and Linda L. Carli, 'Cognitive Biases in Blaming the Victim' (1985) 21 *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 161-177.

More specifically, Bellingcat's investigation into Shahin Gheybe was chosen because of Shahin Gheybe's clear connection to the Netherlands: he is a Dutch-Iranian criminal who was sentenced in the Dutch judicial system. This gives the research question a predominant focus on the state of affairs in the Netherlands.

6. Qualification of Recommendations

Klink and Poort's theory, inspired by Dworkin's work,⁴⁶ is used when discussing possible recommendations for the societal issue of civilian investigations based on OSINT. Their theory states that for the solution to be suitable, one needs to discuss not only whether the proposed solution will suit the current legal framework, but also whether it is desirable on other grounds, while explicating those other grounds.

For example, when discussing possible recommendations this paper has to also include whether it would fit within the current political environment of civilian criminal investigations, which seems to be changing due to the digitalisation of our contemporary society.⁴⁷ This way, the most transparent and well-argued deliberations are made.

⁴⁶ Ronald Dworkin, *Law's Empire* (Harvard University Press 1986).

⁴⁷ See chapter III paragraph 1 for more information on the changing of the investigative landscape.

II. The Legal Basis of Traditional Criminal Investigations

Before looking at civilian criminal investigations based on OSINT, it is necessary to make a distinction between governmental and civilian criminal investigations. In order to understand whether civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects, firstly the current European and Dutch legal framework on OSINT by police investigations is explained. On this basis, the use of OSINT by non-public authorities can also be assessed. It should be kept in mind that this legal framework is shaped by the traditional framework on privacy. Hence, this thesis starts by explaining the traditional theories and principles on privacy and the legal basis of traditional criminal investigations using OSINT.

1. Traditional Theories and Principles on Privacy

Traditionally, privacy is qualified either by focusing on one core aspect, also referred to as singular approaches to privacy, or by looking at privacy as a concept encompassing various facets, referred to as plural approaches to privacy.⁴⁸

Singular approaches to privacy can focus on *inter alia* boundary management, intimacy, or information restriction, or data protection as a core aspect to describe privacy.⁴⁹ However, focusing on one aspect can neglect the importance of other aspects of privacy. For example, privacy almost always has a component of personal data, but at the same time, almost always has a component that cannot be reduced to personal data.⁵⁰ Therefore, privacy needs to be defined without focusing solely on one aspect.

Plural approaches to privacy define privacy by pointing out the various core aspects that all have equal weighing and influence each other. In the typology of privacy by Koops this is reflected in a framework that includes dimensions of social interactions⁵¹, positive and

⁴⁸ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 2 – 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁴⁹ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 3 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵⁰ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵¹ This refers to the type of space, as one's privacy expectation can differ in accordance with a social setting, in: Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

negative freedom to privacy⁵², informational privacy⁵³ and privacy control⁵⁴ as core aspects of privacy that influence each other.⁵⁵ It goes beyond the scope of this thesis to discuss the development of each theory or come up with a new theory of privacy. Instead, the focus lays on the three traditional principles of privacy, inherent in every traditional theory on privacy protection.⁵⁶

Our traditional privacy framework is built around three principles: limiting surveillance of citizens and use of information about them by the government, restricting access to ‘intimate, sensitive or confidential information’ and imposing restrictions on places or spheres that are (more) private.⁵⁷ These principles are present in every approach to privacy protection.⁵⁸ The first principle refers to the more general balancing of powers and protecting citizens against governmental abuse.⁵⁹ As this thesis focuses on civilian criminal investigations using OSINT, the latter two principles are most relevant.

The second principle, also referred to as information privacy, refers to the nature of information and how societal standards judge its level of ‘intimacy, sensitivity or confidentiality’.⁶⁰ Following this second principle, the sensitivity or intimacy of information determines whether a privacy violation takes place, not the way it is collected or analysed.⁶¹ This is why sensitive information is more protected under the GDPR, regardless of how it is analysed or collected.⁶²

The third principle, which is specified as location privacy in this thesis, refers to privacy connected to certain places, like one’s home. Depending on the privacy of a setting,

⁵² Positive and negative freedoms refer for example to the freedom to self-development (positive) and the freedom to be left alone (negative), in: Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵³ Information is used to judge people based on what they know of someone and as other people’s opinions have an impact on one’s self-image and self-understanding, it therefore influences someone’s right to privacy, in: Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 3 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵⁴ Privacy control refers to the amount of control one has over the access to private information. The two ends of the spectrum include a situation in which the information could be in one’s own hands completely, or alternatively, be totally dependent on the extent to which other exercise their discretion, or somewhere in the middle. in: Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵⁵ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 4 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

⁵⁶ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 124.

⁵⁷ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 125.

⁵⁸ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 124.

⁵⁹ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 125.

⁶⁰ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 128.

⁶¹ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 128.

⁶² Article 6(4)(c), 9, 22(4), 27(2)(a), 30(5), 35(3)(b), 37(1)(c) and 47(2)(d) GDPR and preamble 51, 52, 53, 54, 71, 91 GDPR.

the severity of the privacy violation is judged.⁶³ This principle stems from our common belief that certain private places should be guarded against unwanted interference⁶⁴ and can be found in most constitutions, including the Dutch constitution.⁶⁵

Although the second and third principle can overlap somewhat, they are distinct principles. The principle of information privacy focuses on the value of the information at hand. The principle of location privacy focuses on the location of the information, when judging the severity of a privacy breach.

In chapter six the implications of the changing legal landscape on the traditional privacy framework are discussed and a more contemporary conceptualization of privacy is set forth. However, first, the discussion of existing legal mechanisms will be continued and whether these mechanisms apply to OSINT in criminal investigations.⁶⁶

2. Privacy and European Fundamental Rights

The ECHR and the EU Charter and their respective courts, the ECtHR and CJEU, are the core of fundamental rights law in the EU.⁶⁷ Both the ECHR and the EU Charter contain the right to private life⁶⁸ and the right to protection of personal data.⁶⁹ Other relevant legislation for the discussion on traditional criminal investigations and OSINT includes the Law Enforcement Directive concerning the right to data protection and the Council of Europe's Cybercrime Convention (CCC) on cross-border OSINT. These various legal instruments will be discussed in the next few paragraphs.

2.1. The ECHR and the ECtHR

Article 8 of the ECHR codifies the right to a private and family life, home and correspondence, including an implicit right to personal data protection.⁷⁰ The right to a private life is a derogable right, allowing for interference if it is in accordance with the law

⁶³ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 129.

⁶⁴ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 130.

⁶⁵ Article 12 Dutch Constitution; Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 130.

⁶⁶ In chapter III and IV, OSINT used in civilian criminal investigations is discussed.

⁶⁷ Eleanor Spaventa, 'Fundamental Rights in the European Union' in Catherine Barnard and Steve Peers (eds), *European Union Law* (Oxford university press 2014), 226.

⁶⁸ Article 7 EU Charter and article 8 ECHR.

⁶⁹ The right to data protection is codified in article 8 EU Charter and implicit in article 8 ECHR.

⁷⁰ Emmanuel Salami, 'The Impact of Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the Processing of Personal Data by Competent Authorities for the Purposes of the Prevention, Investigation, Detection or Prosecution of Criminal Offences or the Execution of Criminal Penalties and on the Free Movement of Such Data on the Existing Privacy Regime' (2017) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29124491>> accessed 4 August 2019 1,1.

and if the inference is necessary in a democratic society to pursue one or more of the legitimate aims named in article 8(2) ECHR.

Member states have a margin of appreciation, to determine whether their measures are compatible with the right to a private life, albeit limited since the ECtHR has the final say on whether the measures are in breach of article 8 ECHR.⁷¹ According to ECtHR jurisprudence, a two-stage test applies to assess whether a violation of article 8 ECHR has taken place.⁷²

Firstly, an assessment will be made whether it concerns a right to private or family life, as laid down in article 8(1) ECHR. The applicant will argue which right he or she is seeking to protect under article 8 ECHR.⁷³ If it concerns a right protected by article 8(1) ECHR, the second stage consists of an evaluation of whether the interference with the right can be justified based on article 8(2) ECHR. This entails judging whether an infringement of the right to a private life has taken place, whether the interference was in accordance with the law, pursuing a legitimate aim that was necessary in a democratic society.⁷⁴

‘In accordance to law’ requires the interference to have a legal basis in an accessible and foreseeable national law.⁷⁵ The law has to be sufficiently clear, precise and needs to protect against arbitrariness.⁷⁶ Moreover, a ‘legitimate aim’ is necessary to justify an interference with the right to privacy.⁷⁷ The state will have to argue which legitimate aim it is pursuing by the interference, although the aims are very broad and therefore interferences usually fall within the scope of the aim.⁷⁸

Lastly, ‘necessary in a democratic society’ refers to a proportionality test and requires the interference to be appropriate and proportional to fulfil a pressing social need.⁷⁹ The aim of the interference, the factual situation in which the interference takes place, and safeguards

⁷¹ Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 6 – 7.

⁷² Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 8.

⁷³ Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 10.

⁷⁴ Article 8(2) ECHR; Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 9.

⁷⁵ Nick Taylor, ‘State Surveillance and The Right To Privacy’ (2002) 1 Surveillance & Society 66, 68.

⁷⁶ Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 25.

⁷⁷ The justified intervention exceptions can be found in article 8(2) ECHR.

⁷⁸ Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 30.

⁷⁹ Nick Taylor, ‘State Surveillance and The Right To Privacy’ (2002) 1 Surveillance & Society 66, 68; Ursula Kilkelly, ‘The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights’ (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1, 31.

like the restriction of data collection and time limits, are included in the proportionality test.⁸⁰

The requirements aid in answering the question of whether there was a reasonable expectation of privacy. The latter is vital in ECtHR's privacy jurisprudence to establish whether a privacy breach has occurred.⁸¹ Any specific remarks on the use of OSINT in the ECHR or the ECtHR case law cannot be found.

2.2. The EU Charter and the CJEU

In comparison, the EU Charter encompasses the right to private and family life⁸² and an explicit article on the right to personal data protection.⁸³ The EU's fundamental rights law is gaining in importance, especially in criminal law.⁸⁴ Fundamental rights law can limit Union actions and member state actions, when applying EU law, if fundamental rights are compromised or breached.⁸⁵

In recent years there have been two landmark cases of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) on privacy. The first landmark case is the *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* case, which introduced the right to be forgotten.⁸⁶ If information is 'inadequate, irrelevant or no longer relevant, or excessive in relation to the purposes of the processing at issue carried out by the operator of the search engine, the information and links concerned in the list of results must be erased'.⁸⁷

It is not necessary that the data subject has experienced prejudice as a result of his information being included in the search engine.⁸⁸ Critics have argued that this decision can create censorship, as information on a person can no longer be found after removal.⁸⁹ Others have viewed it as a much needed addition to data protection.⁹⁰

⁸⁰ *Silver v. United Kingdom* App nos 5947/72, 6205/73, 7052/75, 7061/75, 7107/75, 7113/75 and 7136/75 (ECtHR, 25 March 1983), ECLI:CE:ECHR:1983:0325JUD000594772 in: Nick Taylor, 'State Surveillance and The Right To Privacy' (2002) 1 *Surveillance & Society* 66, 68; Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 656.

⁸¹ Mark Feenstra, 'Opsporingsmiddelen in de Ontwikkeling: Openbronnen-Onderzoek als de Nieuwe 'Tap' (2018) 97 *PROCES* 367, 370.

⁸² Article 7 EU Charter.

⁸³ Article 8 EU Charter.

⁸⁴ Eleanor Spaventa, 'Fundamental Rights in the European Union' in Catherine Barnard and Steve Peers (eds), *European Union Law* (Oxford university press 2014), 227.

⁸⁵ Eleanor Spaventa, 'Fundamental Rights in the European Union' in Catherine Barnard and Steve Peers (eds), *European Union Law* (Oxford university press 2014), 230 and 232.

⁸⁶ Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* CJEU 2014 ECR. 317, ECLI:EU:C:2014:317, paras 91 – 99.

⁸⁷ Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* CJEU 2014 ECR. 317, ECLI:EU:C:2014:317, para 94.

⁸⁸ Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* CJEU 2014 ECR. 317, ECLI:EU:C:2014:317, para 96

⁸⁹ Edward Lee, 'The Right to Be Forgotten v. Free Speech' (2015) 12 *I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society* 85, 88.

⁹⁰ Edward Lee, 'The Right to Be Forgotten v. Free Speech' (2015) 12 *I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society* 85, 91.

The second case is the *Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner* case, which became famous as it stopped the Safe Harbor agreement with the United States. The Safe Harbor agreement regulated the transferring of personal data from Europe to the US.⁹¹ It argued that review of claims of civilians on inadequate levels of data protection in third countries, that receive flows of personal data from the EU, should always be possible regardless whether it concerns an interference, sensitive personal information or adverse consequences. It is the interference itself that amounts to a breach of the right to private life.⁹² The protection of personal data was later expanded in the GDPR.⁹³

OSINT in criminal investigations is not specifically addressed in EU law and is therefore treated like any other investigative technique. EU law applies to assess whether or not an interference of the right to data protection occurred, based on the extent to which systematic collection and storing of files took place.⁹⁴ Systematic searches are considered an interference with the right to data protection and require a legal basis, regardless of the distinction between open-source information and other types of data.

This means that manual or non-systematic searches by public officials that do not include storing of information, do not amount to an interference with a person's right to data protection.⁹⁵

3. The Police Directive

The Data Protection Directive (EU) 2016/680,⁹⁶ also referred to as the Police Directive,⁹⁷ governs national and international personal data exchanges for law enforcement.⁹⁸ Even

⁹¹ Case C-362/14, *Maximillian Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner* CJEU 2015, ECLI:EU:C:2015:650, paras 96–98 and 103–106.

⁹² Case C-362/14, *Maximillian Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner* CJEU 2015, ECLI:EU:C:2015:650, para 87; Paul M Schwartz and Karl-Nikolaus Peifer, 'Transatlantic Data Privacy Law' (2017) *Geo. L. J.* 115, 127 and 128.

⁹³ This will be discussed in chapter 3, paragraph 2 on the GDPR.

⁹⁴ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 656.

⁹⁵ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 656.

⁹⁶ Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties and on the free movement of such data and repealing Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA.

⁹⁷ Hereinafter the Police Directive.

⁹⁸ Article 64 Directive (EU) 2016/680; European Commission, 'Data protection in the EU' <https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-topic/data-protection/data-protection-eu_en> accessed 13 June 2019.

though data protection and privacy protection are not the same,⁹⁹ exploring data protection mechanisms, like the Police Directive's regulations on the right to protection of personal data,¹⁰⁰ will be useful to gain an overview on the (lack of) legal protection and regulation of OSINT, through privacy or data protection mechanisms.¹⁰¹

The Police Directive ensures the same level of protection for natural persons throughout the Union, regulating the exchange of personal data in criminal investigations between member states.¹⁰² It applies to all natural persons in the EU whose data are processed, as long as the data relate to an identifiable natural person.¹⁰³

The Police Directive has brought some significant changes in relation to the previous regime, broadening the scope of data protection.¹⁰⁴ This is *inter alia* reflected in the fact that the Police Directive only provides a minimum data protection level, giving member states the freedom to ensure more comprehensive data protection in their national jurisdictions.¹⁰⁵

Moreover, the Police Directive applies to any processing of personal data by 'competent authorities for purposes of prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or execution of criminal penalties'¹⁰⁶ when the processing is at least partly automated, or is intended to be part of a filing system.¹⁰⁷ The previous Directive was restricted to cross-border processing.¹⁰⁸ The right to rectification or erasure of personal data within appropriate time limits – also called the right to be forgotten as previously discussed – was also added in the new data protection regime.¹⁰⁹

⁹⁹ The further in-depth discussion on the (lack of) overlap between data protection and privacy protection exceeds the scope of this thesis. In this thesis, the assumption is made that they are different rights, although data protection is part of privacy protection.

¹⁰⁰ Article 1(2)(a) Police Directive.

¹⁰¹ See chapter II paragraph 1 on the traditional theories and principles of privacy and chapter VI for a further discussion on the theoretical evaluation of privacy.

¹⁰² Point 15 preamble Police Directive.

¹⁰³ Point 17 and 21 preamble Police Directive.

¹⁰⁴ Emmanuel Salami, 'The Impact of Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the Processing of Personal Data by Competent Authorities for the Purposes of the Prevention, Investigation, Detection or Prosecution of Criminal Offences or the Execution of Criminal Penalties and on the Free Movement of Such Data on the Existing Privacy Regime' (2017) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29124491>> accessed 4 August 2019 1, 3.

¹⁰⁵ Article 1(3) Police Directive; Emmanuel Salami, 'The Impact of Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the Processing of Personal Data by Competent Authorities for the Purposes of the Prevention, Investigation, Detection or Prosecution of Criminal Offences or the Execution of Criminal Penalties and on the Free Movement of Such Data on the Existing Privacy Regime' (2017) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29124491>> accessed 4 August 2019 1, 3.

¹⁰⁶ Article 1(1) and article 2(1) Police Directive.

¹⁰⁷ Article 2(2) Police Directive.

¹⁰⁸ The previous Directive was Directive 95/46/EC.

¹⁰⁹ Article 16 Police Directive; Emmanuel Salami, 'The Impact of Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the Processing of Personal Data by Competent Authorities for the Purposes of the Prevention, Investigation, Detection or Prosecution of Criminal Offences or the Execution of Criminal Penalties and on the Free Movement of Such Data on the Existing Privacy Regime' (2017) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29124491>> accessed 4 August 2019 1, 4.

Processing sensitive personal data requires greater protection because of the significant risk to fundamental rights and freedoms and will therefore only be allowed when strictly necessary and subject to appropriate safeguards.¹¹⁰ Open-source information from social media platforms like Instagram can fall within this category, as these data often include sensitive information, like photos showing racial or ethnic origin.¹¹¹

Only when special categories of personal data have been ‘manifestly made public by the data subject’ the processing of sensitive data seems to be allowed, after which the processing has to pass the ‘strictly necessary’ threshold and show there are appropriate safeguards in place.¹¹²

However, proving that special categories of personal data are manifestly made public by the specific individual that the data concerns, can be difficult *inter alia* because one cannot easily prove whether open-source information was published by the data subject or someone else.

This means that OSINT will not pass the strict safeguards laid down in the Police Directive, which would limit governmental OSINT, at least with regard to visual data. Nevertheless, the Police Directive does not mention open-source information or OSINT specifically, so its practical application remains unclear.

4. The Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime

Turning to the CCC – an international convention covering the use of open-source information – it can be noted that its application is useful for mapping the current legal framework surrounding OSINT usage in governmental investigations.

The CCC defines open-source data in article 32(a) CCC as ‘publicly available stored computer data’ and allows countries to access this information without the permission of the country where the data is geographically located.¹¹³ Article 32(a) CCC gives a general definition of open sources that could include much of the information on the internet, including semi-open sources like social media platforms, that are semi-free in the sense that they require some type of registration, but are freely accessible afterwards.¹¹⁴

The Cybercrime Convention Committee states that publicly available data include

¹¹⁰ Point 37 preamble Police Directive; Article 10 and 11(2) Police Directive.

¹¹¹ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review 654, 665.

¹¹² Point 37 of the preamble of the Police Directive.

¹¹³ The Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime [2001] ETS 185, article 32(a).

¹¹⁴ Mark Feenstra, 'Opsporingsmiddelen in de Ontwikkeling: Openbronnen-Onderzoek als de Nieuwe 'Tap' (2018) 97 PROCES 367, 368.

both publicly available information and publicly available services, which need subscription or registration in order to get to the publicly available information. However, ‘if a portion of a public website, service or similar is closed to the public, then it is not considered publicly available in the meaning of Article 32a’.¹¹⁵

The question arises whether data from social media websites will be considered open-source information according to this definition, as some parts of these social media platforms are indeed closed off.

5. Dutch Legal Framework of Criminal Investigations

After having established the European legal framework, a short description of the Dutch legal framework on criminal investigations will now follow. National law still provides for most regulations on criminal procedural law, due to the current small-scale harmonization of criminal law in the EU.¹¹⁶ In the Netherlands, these regulations are codified *inter alia* in the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure and the Special Investigation Powers Act.¹¹⁷

The Dutch system has various safeguards against abusive use of public investigative powers, like a required legal basis and the requirement that an investigative power has to be in the interest of the investigation before an investigative power can be used.¹¹⁸ Other cumulative requirements boil down to the suspicion-requirement and the permission-requirement.¹¹⁹

There are three levels of safeguards in the Dutch system to protect fundamental rights in criminal investigations, in which the following requirements are built in. When it concerns a small privacy breach, investigative agents can exercise investigative powers without the intervention of a judge or public prosecutor as it falls within the general task description of the Dutch police.¹²⁰ This is, for example, the case when it concerns an emergency or a limited

¹¹⁵ Cybercrime Convention Committee (T-CY), 'T-CY Guidance Note # 3 Transborder Access to Data (Article 32)' (Council of Europe 2014) <<https://rm.coe.int/09000016802e727e>> accessed 8 July 2019, 1, 4.

¹¹⁶ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 655, 657 and 663.

¹¹⁷ Wet bijzondere opsporingsbevoegdheden, Staatsblad, 1999, 245, in: Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 663.

¹¹⁸ Article 1 Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure; Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 48 and 49; Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 *Computer Law & Security Review* 654, 663.

¹¹⁹ The suspicion-requirement entails that there has to be enough reason to consider those whose rights are infringed to be suspects: it is not possible for the government to investigate whoever they want, without reason. The permission-requirement entails that permission of the relevant authority is required for usage of an investigative power.

¹²⁰ Article 3 Dutch Police Act 2012.

amount of predetermined data.¹²¹

When the privacy infringement is more serious, an explicit, specific legal basis and a court order of the public prosecutor is needed.¹²² The general task description of the Dutch police¹²³ is then insufficient and articles on systematic observation or systematic gathering of information are used to fulfil the explicit, specific legal basis-requirement, even though these articles do not address OSINT specifically.¹²⁴ For the most severe type of privacy infringement, an explicit, specific legal basis and a court order issued by an administrative magistrate¹²⁵ are required.¹²⁶

The average degree of severity depends on the constitutional protection of the right – in this case the right to privacy – the methods used to cause the infringement, an estimation of the circumstances in the specific case and what infringements a civilian can reasonably expect in criminal investigations.¹²⁷

The current explanatory memorandum to the Special Investigation Powers Act states that looking around on the internet falls within the general task description of the Dutch police as it does not infringe privacy, although the legislator seems to refer to manually looking through the internet. However, systematic or automated internet searches are increasingly prevalent as a means of investigation, making this remark outdated.¹²⁸

In the Context-case,¹²⁹ a first effort was made to clarify the legal basis of OSINT by the police. In this case, the Dutch court decided that article 3 of the Dutch police law is a sufficient legal basis for gathering and copying online publicly available information, including semi-public information like social media data, even when this semi-open-source

¹²¹ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 34; Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid 8, 9.

¹²² Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review 654, 663; Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 34; Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid 8, 9.

¹²³ Article 3 Dutch Police Act 2012.

¹²⁴ Article 126g and 126j Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure. See also: Eelco Moerman, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' (2019) 94 NJB 1, 2; Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid 8, 8.

¹²⁵ In Dutch this judge is called the 'rechter-commissaris'.

¹²⁶ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 34; HR 4 April 2017 *Smartphone-arrest*, ECLI:NL:HR:2017:584.

¹²⁷ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 34.

¹²⁸ Wet bijzondere opsporingsbevoegdheden, Staatsblad, 1999, 245, in: Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review 654, 663.

¹²⁹ Hof Den Haag 25 May 2018, ECLI:NL:GHDHA:2018:1248.

data is retrieved under a fake identity.¹³⁰ However, if it is known that this type of investigative work will become systematic, a separate, more specific legal basis is required.¹³¹ Considering the increasing use of data mining in police investigations, this legal basis seems highly necessary.¹³² OSINT in criminal investigations should receive more legislative consideration or juridical attention in jurisprudence, to ensure legal certainty for civilians.¹³³

5.1. The Renewing of the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure

Inter alia because of the internet's influence on investigations, the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure is scheduled to undergo a modernization process.¹³⁴ Currently, adaptations to the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure¹³⁵ are being finalized and will be voted upon at the end of 2020.¹³⁶ In the Concept Code, a section is introduced on the systematic use of digital open sources.¹³⁷ This will create a new explicit, specific legal basis for systematic copying of personal data from publicly available sources by public authorities.¹³⁸

Throughout the concept Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure, the focus will remain on the extent to which criminal investigations amount to a systematic infringement, as a measurement of the severity of the infringement.¹³⁹ In case a minor breach of privacy occurs,

¹³⁰ See chapter III paragraph 4 for the explanation of a semi-open source.

¹³¹ Hof Den Haag 25 May 2018, ECLI:NL:GHDHA:2018:1248.

¹³² In this thesis data mining is defined as the method of connecting digital data and automatizing it, often by means of a profile and looking whether links exist between the data, in accordance with: Sven Brinkhoff, 'Datamining in een Veranderende Wereld van Opsporing en Vervolg' (2017) 3 Tijdschrift voor Bijzonder Strafrecht & Handhaving 224, 224.

¹³³ Mark Feenstra, 'Opsporingsmiddelen in de Ontwikkeling: Openbronnen-Onderzoek als de Nieuwe 'Tap' (2018) 97 PROCES 367, 371 and 374.

¹³⁴ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 6. More will be said on the changing landscape of criminal investigations in chapter III paragraph 1.

¹³⁵ Hereinafter, the Concept Code.

¹³⁶ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Tijdpad Traject Modernisering Wetboek van Strafvordering' (Rijksoverheid) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering/tijdpad-traject-modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019.

¹³⁷ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Wetsvoorstel tot Vaststelling van Boek 2 van het Nieuwe Wetboek van Strafvordering. Het Opsporingsonderzoek' (Rijksoverheid 2017) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/kamerstukken/2017/02/07/wetsvoorstel-tot-vaststelling-van-boek-2-van-het-nieuwe-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019, section 8.2.4.

¹³⁸ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Wetsvoorstel tot Vaststelling van Boek 2 van het Nieuwe Wetboek van Strafvordering. Het Opsporingsonderzoek' (Rijksoverheid 2017) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/kamerstukken/2017/02/07/wetsvoorstel-tot-vaststelling-van-boek-2-van-het-nieuwe-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019, section 8.2.4. and article 2.8.2.4.1.

¹³⁹ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving' (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, explanatory section article 2.7.3.2.2.

the general task description of the police will still suffice as a legal basis.¹⁴⁰ Systematic copying of publicly available sources will be allowed if it concerns a crime with a penalty of at least one year imprisonment and if the public prosecutor has permitted it.¹⁴¹

In a recent change of the concept article 2.8.2.4.1 on publicly available sources, the addition ‘copying the personal data from open sources with a technical tool’ was replaced by ‘copying the personal data from publicly accessible sources, whether or not done automatically’, to maintain the focus on the systematic collection on data as a measurement of the severity of the infringement and to prevent possible confusion.¹⁴² The third paragraph of this article states that by general administrative order more detailed rules can be implemented concerning the methods of systematic copying of data, to safeguard the authenticity and integrity of the results.¹⁴³ These general administrative orders are yet to be finalized.¹⁴⁴

Moreover, the term ‘open sources’ was changed to ‘publicly available sources’, as the term ‘open source’ can generate a false understanding of openness or unrestricted access.¹⁴⁵ ‘Publicly available source’ refers to the factual situation in which the data are freely available, but the use and analysis of the data is not.¹⁴⁶

The explanatory memorandum qualifies a publicly available source based on the

¹⁴⁰ Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 *Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid* 8, 15.

¹⁴¹ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, ‘Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving’ (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, article 2.8.2.4.1. paragraph 1.

¹⁴² Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, ‘Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving’ (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, article 2.7.4.3. section ‘G’; Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 165 (recommendation 60); Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 *Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid* 8, 17.

¹⁴³ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, ‘Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving’ (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, article 2.7.4.3. section ‘G’.

¹⁴⁴ Minister van Justitie en Veiligheid en Minister voor Rechtsbescherming, 'Kamerbrief met Voortgangsrapportage Modernisering Wetboek van Strafvordering en Update Contourennota' (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering/documenten/kamerstukken/2019/04/09/tk-voortgangsrapportage-modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering-en-update-van-de-contourennota> accessed 25 June 2019.

¹⁴⁵ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 153 (recommendation 53).

¹⁴⁶ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, ‘Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving’ (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, explanatory section article 2.7.4.3, heading ‘G and I’.

extent to which a security breach occurs when gaining access to the source. If a source is publicly available it should therefore be accessible without avoiding or breaching security systems, using technical tools and interventions like false signals or false keys, or the adoption of a false identity.¹⁴⁷ Simply registering to a website or service without payment would therefore seem to fall within the definition of accessing a public source, as long as no security breaches occur.

Especially the inclusion of ‘without using technical tools and interventions’ is interesting, as it is often necessary to use some type of software or technical intervention to make use of OSINT. An example of such software is the Google Chrome plug-in called ‘Downloader for Instagram’ that Bellingcat used in its research into Shahin Gheyibe.¹⁴⁸

It has been argued that the office of the public prosecutor and the police should not wait for the implementation of the concept Code and provide for preliminary guidance already, by means of a policy statement on OSINT.¹⁴⁹ Others urge the government to submit a concept law on the use of OSINT to the House of Representatives before the concept Code is ready, to increase legal protection for civilians.¹⁵⁰ Both sides seem to agree that the Concept Code should be implemented as soon as possible, for the law to tailor to the current investigative reality in a digitalized society.

6. Sub-conclusion

To summarize, the current Dutch and European legal framework on criminal investigations lacks any specific regulations on the use of OSINT. OSINT is not specifically addressed the ECHR, ECtHR case law or EU law and is therefore treated like any investigative technique.

Currently, governmental criminal investigations, with the use of OSINT, would pass the proportionality test of the necessity-requirement under article 8(2) ECHR, but the Police Directive also limits the use of OSINT, due to its restriction that automatic processing of sensitive personal data is only allowed when it is made public by the data subject. This could

¹⁴⁷ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, ‘Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving’ (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019, explanatory section article 2.7.4.3, heading ‘G and I’.

¹⁴⁸ See chapter IV paragraph 2 for more details of the case study of Shahin Gheyibe.

¹⁴⁹ Mark Feenstra, ‘Opsporingsmiddelen in de Ontwikkeling: Openbronnen-Onderzoek als de Nieuwe ‘Tap’ (2018) 97 PROCES 367, 375.

¹⁵⁰ Jan-Jaap Oerlemans, ‘Beschouwing Rapport Commissie-Koops: Strafvordering het Digitale Tijdperk’ [2018] Boom Juridisch 1, 20.

complicate the use of systems relying on OSINT, like webcrawlers¹⁵¹.

The broad definition of an open source in the CCC and by the Cybercrime Convention Committee shows a tendency in the international community to acknowledge the use of open-source information and the intention to treat it differently than non-open-source information.¹⁵² However, its broad definition also creates uncertainty about what would fall within the definition.

The yet to be finalized renewed Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure will establish an explicit, legal basis for the systematic use of digital publicly available sources, but will not be implemented until autumn 2020 at the earliest.¹⁵³ As a consequence, the current legal status of open-source information and the use of OSINT in police investigations remains unclear.

This lacuna in the law should be filled to consolidate legal certainty and prevent arbitrariness in police work. This is all the more important in light of the changing landscape of criminal investigations, which will be discussed in the next chapter.

¹⁵¹ These are bots using autonomous computer programs that scan the internet for publicly accessible information in a systematic manner and index useful information. In: Arno R Lodder and Marc B. Schuilenburg, 'Politie-webcrawlers en Predictive Policing', (2016) 81 *Computerrecht* 150, 150.

¹⁵² Article 32(a) CCC.

¹⁵³ Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Tijdpad Traject Modernisering Wetboek van Strafvordering' (Rijksoverheid) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering/tijdpad-traject-modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019.

III. The Legal Basis of Civilian Criminal Investigations

This thesis now turns to the legality of civilian criminal investigations, to evaluate whether civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects. In this chapter, the recent changes in the landscape of criminal investigations and justice administration are discussed to establish an awareness of the current state of affairs and explain the increased occurrence of civilian criminal investigations and vigilante justice. Subsequently, the GDPR and self-regulatory measures by private actors will be discussed in light of the case study of Shahin Gheybe, which completes the overview of the current regulations on OSINT.

1. The Changing Landscape of Criminal Investigations

Technological adaptations have moved large parts of our communication to the online sphere. Internet and social media provide a wide array of information, relevant for public and civilian investigators alike.¹⁵⁴ Vast amounts of data are created, saved, exchanged and reproduced, contributing to the datafication¹⁵⁵ of every layer of society.¹⁵⁶ Personal data are often called the new currency of the information society¹⁵⁷ or the new 'gold' in an age of a new type of emerging tech-companies.

The digitalization of society causes datafication of our online behaviour, as all our interactions and decisions are monitored and transformed into data.¹⁵⁸ When one scrolls through their Facebook feed, a software tracks not only what one posts but *inter alia* what one looks at, for how long and whether one comments on it. Combining these data allows for a detailed depiction of an individual as part of a group, useful for targeted profiling.

The traditional conceptualization of privacy is not adapted to this, as it often focuses

¹⁵⁴ Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 *Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid* 8, 19.

¹⁵⁵ Datafication is defined in this thesis as 'the transformation of social action into online quantified data, thus allowing for real-time tracking and predictive analysis' in accordance with Viktor Mayer-Schoenberger and Kenneth Cukier, 'Big Data. A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work and Think' (2014) 179 Oxford University Press in: José van Dijck, 'Datafication, Dataism and Dataveillance: Big Data between Scientific Paradigm and Ideology' (2014) 12 *Surveillance & Society* 197, 198.

¹⁵⁶ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 11.

¹⁵⁷ Arnoud Engelfriet, *De wet op Internet* (edition 2017-2018 Ius Mentis 2018) 134.

¹⁵⁸ Bert-Jaap Kooops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 6 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

on the individual, whereas targeted profiling concerns the privacy of an individual within a group.¹⁵⁹

Moreover, through occurrences like the Internet of Things and ‘smart’ furniture, the physical world is intertwining with the digital world.¹⁶⁰ People can no longer expect to be most private in their homes, as digitalization and datafication have made technology become an ingrained part of our daily private life.¹⁶¹

For example, people bring their public and private life everywhere with them on their mobile phones. Sensitive information can be derived from these phones, by means of data mining and data analytics.¹⁶² This is blurring the lines between the public and the private sphere and making it increasingly difficult to estimate the severity of a privacy breach beforehand. These blurring lines between the privacy of one’s home¹⁶³ and one’s communication¹⁶⁴ are challenging the classical investigative framework and need to be addressed.¹⁶⁵

2. The Changing Landscape of Justice Administration

In today’s society, most of the digital infrastructure and knowledge is in the hands of private parties. Many of these private parties are tech companies, but they can also include citizens, who are able to contribute both substantively to digital criminal investigations.¹⁶⁶

Digilantes¹⁶⁷ are growing in importance since the internet has created the possibility for the public to get involved.¹⁶⁸ The Dutch police have expressed their aim to include civilians more structurally in criminal investigations, harnessing the potential that civilian criminal

¹⁵⁹ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 6 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

¹⁶⁰ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 6 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

¹⁶¹ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 1 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

¹⁶² Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 36.

¹⁶³ Art. 12 Dutch Constitution and article 8(1) ECHR.

¹⁶⁴ Art. 13 Dutch Constitution and article 8(1) ECHR.

¹⁶⁵ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 35.

¹⁶⁶ Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 20.

¹⁶⁷ As mentioned in the introduction, the terms digilantes and civilian criminal investigators will be used interchangeably throughout this thesis (see footnote 18).

¹⁶⁸ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, ‘Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings’ (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 341 and 342.

investigations can yield.¹⁶⁹

For example, on the 1st of June 2019, the Dutch police and the office of the public prosecutor launched a pilot app to help victims of theft track down the thief. The app will serve as a two-month trial in various parts of the Netherlands and aims to serve as a new platform stimulating collaboration between civilians and the police, aiding criminal prosecution.¹⁷⁰ It will give victims of theft the chance to start their own investigation.

The app allows civilians to perform all types of tasks, including interrogating witnesses, checking whether camera footage of the incident is available, uploading photos or videos as proof of the crime, conducting research in the neighbourhood and, importantly, conducting online research. The police argue it would only concern actions that civilians are allowed to undertake without legal permission.¹⁷¹ The app will guide civilians through their investigation in accordance with the law, which could render evidence collected by civilians admissible in the courtroom.¹⁷²

Another initiative trying to benefit from public action is the ‘eyeWitness to Atrocities’-app, launched by the International Bar Association (IBA) and various human rights organisations.¹⁷³ This application aims to record information showing serious human rights violations. It checks metadata to verify the reliability of the evidence and sends it to a secure server for later use in court, while maintaining the anonymity of the users of the app.¹⁷⁴

The increasing involvement of civilians in criminal investigations shows a change in the role of the police in society, from professional and independent, towards a more community focused security mechanism within a democratic participatory society.¹⁷⁵

¹⁶⁹ Harm Graat, ‘Politie wil hulp van 'burgerrechercheurs' bij opsporing’ *De Gelderlander* (25 August 2018) <www.gelderlander.nl/arnhem/politie-wil-hulp-van-burgerrechercheurs-bij-opsporing-br-br~a843f0f6/> accessed 28 July 2019.

¹⁷⁰ Politie, ‘Politie en OM Lanceren App voor Burgeronderzoek’ *Politie.nl* (27 May 2019) <www.politie.nl/nieuws/2019/mei/27/00-politie-en-om-lanceren-app-voor-burgeronderzoek.html> accessed 7 June 2019.

¹⁷¹ NOS, ‘Politie en OM Gaan Speurende Burger Met App Begeleiden’ *NOS.nl* (27 May 2019) <<https://nos.nl/artikel/2286469-politie-en-om-gaan-speurende-burger-met-app-begeleiden.html>> accessed 27 May 2019; Politie, ‘Politie en OM Lanceren App voor Burgeronderzoek’ *Politie.nl* (27 May 2019) <www.politie.nl/nieuws/2019/mei/27/00-politie-en-om-lanceren-app-voor-burgeronderzoek.html> accessed 7 June 2019.

¹⁷² NOS, ‘Politie en OM Gaan Speurende Burger Met App Begeleiden’ *NOS.nl* (27 May 2019) <<https://nos.nl/artikel/2286469-politie-en-om-gaan-speurende-burger-met-app-begeleiden.html>> accessed 27 May 2019.

¹⁷³ RELX, ‘Eyewitness to Atrocities App Launched’ *RELX.com* (08 June 2015) <<https://www.relx.com/media/press-releases/year-2015/08-06-2015>> accessed 27 October 2019.

¹⁷⁴ ‘EyeWitness Project’ <www.eyewitnessproject.org/> accessed 29 July 2019.

¹⁷⁵ Gary T Marx, ‘The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes’ (2013) 11 *IEEE Security & Privacy* 56, 57.

Increased involvement of civilians in criminal investigations could be a threat to fair and just criminal investigations, as civilian criminal investigations are currently not explicitly regulated in Dutch law. In contrast, one could argue that not having any safeguards against civilian criminal investigations makes sense as civilians might be able to use OSINT, but they cannot start a trial nor administer justice due to the public prosecutor's monopoly on tracing crimes, prosecuting crimes and monitoring the execution of the court's verdicts.¹⁷⁶ Civilians might be increasingly aiding criminal investigations, but in the end the public prosecutor will decide what crimes will be prosecuted and which will not.

However, this view assumes that civilians cannot seek and administer their own kind of justice. A new type of justice has arisen with the growing importance of civilian investigators driven by private actors – also referred to as online vigilante justice – potentially due to the lack of regulations on digilantes.

For example, groups of civilians hunt down paedophiles online to submit them to a type of vigilante justice administration. These digilantes pretend they are under-age and schedule a meeting with the paedophile, where he or she gets beaten or humiliated, which is filmed by the digilantes and published publicly on social media to online shame the paedophile.¹⁷⁷ The goal is to create justice and awareness through the online shaming, while pointing out the lack of prosecution of paedophiles to law enforcement. Simultaneously, their vigilante justice offers some dubious entertainment to the viewers.

Online vigilante justice, administered by civilians or civilian organizations, can take shape in online bullying, online shaming¹⁷⁸ and doxxing¹⁷⁹, without being bound to rules.¹⁸⁰ Doxxing is a common example of online vigilante justice and can be used to supplement other types of online vigilante justice like online shaming. It is particularly difficult to prevent, considering that doxxing consists of putting together various pieces of seemingly innocent public information from different internet sources, to paint a bigger picture of one's life that goes beyond the individual pieces of information.¹⁸¹ Doxxing provides information

¹⁷⁶ Article 124 of the Dutch law on the Judicial Organization ('Wet op de Rechterlijke Organisatie' (RO)).

¹⁷⁷ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 Regulation & Governance 101, 106.

¹⁷⁸ In this thesis, online shaming is defined as 'spreading public information online', in accordance with: Mathias Klang and Umass Boston, 'On The Internet Nobody Can See Your Cape: The Ethics of Online Vigilantism' (2015) AoIR 1, 1.

¹⁷⁹ In this thesis, doxxing is defined as the 'use of the internet to search for and publish identifying information about a particular individual, typically with malicious intent' (see footnote 19).

¹⁸⁰ Mathias Klang and Umass Boston, 'On The Internet Nobody Can See Your Cape: the Ethics of Online Vigilantism' (2015) AoIR 1, 1.

¹⁸¹ For example, if one's postal code is publicly available online, and one's name, profession, age or phone number are also publicly available in separate online sources, the privacy violation would be more substantial if

and entertainment to the public, but the publicity can also create notoriety or unwanted attention, which can lead to condemnation.

In a way, Bellingcat's vigilante justice is doxxing: they publish personal data of subjects of their investigations, retrieved from various public sources on their website. In the case of Shahin Gheybe this includes information on his most recent location and his private pictures and videos.¹⁸² Shahin Gheybe is a convicted criminal, but especially when subjects of digilante justice have not yet been on trial in the judicial system, the image portrayed online and in media can have a substantial influence, even on decision making in various layers of the civil litigation system.¹⁸³ The need for regulation of vigilante justice is evident.

3. The General Data Protection Regulation: the GDPR

The need for regulatory measures to protect suspects of civilian criminal investigations and victims of vigilante justice has become clear. One of the available data protection mechanism to victims of digilantes using OSINT is the regulation (EU) 2016/679, also called the GDPR. The question is if the GPDR provides sufficient legal protection against OSINT.¹⁸⁴

Open-source information consists of data and therefore the GDPR could be useful to protect civilians' data, without suggesting that privacy and data protection are the same.¹⁸⁵ Enforceable since the 25th of May 2018, the GDPR is the most important data protection regulation of the EU, in part due to the high monetary sanctions.¹⁸⁶ It applies to the processing of personal data, either partly or fully automated, or as part of a filing system and has extraterritorial applicability, as the companies processing the data of the data subjects do not have to be located in the EU.¹⁸⁷

According to article 13 and 14 of the GDPR, Bellingcat should provide the data

all this information would be doxxed together in the same place than if these facts would be doxxed separately, without connecting the various facts. A more complete image of a person's private life is revealed when you publish a person's name, profession, age, phone number and address all together in one place.

¹⁸² Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 March 2019.

¹⁸³ Jennifer K. Robbennolt and Christina A. Studebaker, 'News Media Reporting on Civil Litigation and Its Influence on Civil Justice Decision Making.' (2003) 27 *Law and Human Behaviour*, 5 – 27.

¹⁸⁴ As mentioned in chapter two paragraph two, even though data protection and privacy protection are not considered being the same in this thesis, this thesis focuses on the possible ways in which civilians' rights are protected concerning OSINT in civilian criminal investigations. Therefore, exploring data protection mechanisms, like the Police Directive and the GDPR, are useful to gain an overview on the (lack of) legal protection and regulation of OSINT.

¹⁸⁵ The further in-depth discussion on the (lack of) overlap between data protection and privacy protection exceeds the scope of this thesis (see footnote 99).

¹⁸⁶ Article 83 and 99 GDPR.

¹⁸⁷ Article 2 and 3 GDPR.

subject with information about the data in question, including naming the source of the data and whether it came from a publicly accessible source,¹⁸⁸ unless the data subject already has the information¹⁸⁹ or if it is necessary and proportionate in a democratic society to not disclose the information to secure criminal investigations.¹⁹⁰ The latter seems relevant for diligantes and might serve as an exemption ground for diligantes to not have to inform the data subject on their use of his or her data.

Moreover, a diligante could otherwise also argue that notice has already been given to the data subject when it concerns open-source information from social media, as people permit further processing of personal data by agreeing to terms and conditions when using social media services. These terms and conditions often include the notion that further processing of personal data can occur for a purpose other than that for which the personal data were obtained, therefore notifying data subjects.¹⁹¹ Apart from this, the use of OSINT, specifically in the context of civilian criminal investigations, is not regulated by the GDPR.

It should again be stressed that privacy and data protection problems are not the same. Finding a solution to a problem of data protection does not necessarily provide for a complete solution to privacy problems as well.¹⁹² As discussed in the previous paragraph, privacy consists of more than data protection. For example, if civilian investigators find a publicly available record of one's correspondence, which includes a nude photo, they might unlawfully process personal data if they save, analyse or use the personal photo. However, if they simply view the picture and describe it in detail to others, no data breach occurs but one's privacy can still be compromised.

Moreover, criticism has been expressed that the GDPR covers so many topics that it is at risk of becoming a focus point for compliance on paper, instead of implementing true privacy protection.¹⁹³ All in all, the GDPR does not seem to provide a comprehensive answer to privacy concerns caused by OSINT.

¹⁸⁸ Article 14(2)(f) GDPR.

¹⁸⁹ Article 13(4) and 14(5)(a) GDPR.

¹⁹⁰ Article 41(d) of the Dutch implementing law integrating *inter alia* article 23 GDPR, 'Uitvoeringswet Algemene verordening gegevensbescherming' (UAVG).

¹⁹¹ Facebook, 'Data Policy' <<https://www.facebook.com/about/privacy/update>> accessed 1 November 2019.

¹⁹² Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 7 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

¹⁹³ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 7 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

4. Self-regulation by Private Actors

Another possible protection method is self-regulation of privacy matters. Next to governmental initiatives there are also civilian investigators that adhere themselves to codes of conducts, engaging in a type of self-regulation.

Bellingcat uses the IMPRESS Standards Code for journalists that ‘aims to protect the public from invasive journalistic practices and unethical news reporting’.¹⁹⁴ The Standards Code includes rules on privacy, the use of sources, transparency, accuracy and more. Article 7 of the Standards Code states that ‘publishers must respect people’s reasonable expectation of privacy’, which can be judged on various aspects including their public profile,¹⁹⁵ and whether a person has voluntarily courted publicity on an aspect of their private life.¹⁹⁶ Its guidance remarks on article 7 provides for an in-depth description of the clauses and their application. It states:

‘Information that is already in the public domain will not generally give rise to a reasonable expectation of privacy. However, private photographs or videos that capture intimate moments or images may still attract a reasonable expectation of privacy even though they have been previously publicised. This is because of the special quality of images and photographs. This does not mean that a publisher can deliberately reveal hitherto private information to argue that the information is now in the public domain. Information may still be regarded as being subject to a reasonable expectation of privacy where some people know of it, provided it is not generally known’.¹⁹⁷

What a reasonable expectation of privacy is, will depend on the circumstances of a specific case and the many aspects named in article 7. IMPRESS ends its guidance note on article 7 stating that the clause is not breached if public interest outweighs privacy harm. Its guidance note seems in line to existing EU case law on privacy.¹⁹⁸ The benefit of this type of regulation is that companies are portraying their commitment to privacy protection and could therefore be likely to stick to it. Moreover, by creating their own regulations, companies can base it on their own experiences and come up with an effective privacy regulation.

¹⁹⁴ Bellingcat, ‘Making a Complaint’ <www.bellingcat.com/contact/> accessed 30 July 2019; IMPRESS, ‘Standards Code’ <www.impress.press/standards/> accessed 29 July 2019.

¹⁹⁵ IMPRESS, ‘Standards Code’, article 7(d) <www.impress.press/standards/> accessed 29 July 2019.

¹⁹⁶ IMPRESS, ‘Standards Code’ article 7(e) <www.impress.press/standards/> accessed 29 July 2019.

¹⁹⁷ IMPRESS, ‘Standards Code’ Guidance on article 7. Privacy <www.impress.press/standards/> accessed 29 July 2019. The original text had a spelling mistake in it, which was removed in this quote.

¹⁹⁸ See chapter four for further information on the EU Court of Justice case law on privacy.

However, simultaneously there is a risk that companies invent a privacy regulation that looks good on paper, but in practice provides little privacy protection. Moreover, the problem with non-governmental compliance schemes is that non-compliance with IMPRESS' Standards Code will have no legal consequences, as it is a voluntary regulation method. IMPRESS' Standards Code is recognized as an independent press regulator,¹⁹⁹ but becoming a member of its Standards Code is not mandatory.

5. Sub-conclusion

Digitalization and datafication of society, the blurring lines between public and private life, the increasing role of civilian investigators and the emergence of online vigilante justice are changing criminal investigations as we know them. This creates possibilities for different ways of investigating and justice administrating.

However, the emergence of vigilante justice can pose challenges. It is questionable whether vigilante justice truly administers justice or disguises behind the term, as no legal safeguards apply. Its use should, therefore, be restricted.

Moreover, this chapter has shown that currently, no comprehensive, legally binding regulations on the use of OSINT in civilian criminal investigations exist. The GDPR does not address the use of OSINT specifically and self-regulation by private actors, like through the voluntary IMPRESS Standards Code for journalists, lack legal implications.

Referring this back to the research question, the lack of regulations on OSINT in traditional and civilian criminal investigations is problematic as it leaves open the question in which situations its use amounts to privacy breaches of suspects. Moreover, if a privacy breach would occur, the victims are currently not protected.

Another possibility to assess whether civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects is through the horizontal direct effect of EU Fundamental rights. Therefore, the next chapter will look at the horizontal working of the EU fundamental rights in light of the case study on Shahin Gheybe.

¹⁹⁹ IMPRESS, 'FAQ' point 11 <www.impress.press/about-us/faq.html#relationship-between-impress-government> accessed 30 July 2019.

IV. The Horizontal Direct Effect of EU Fundamental Rights

The horizontal working of EU fundamental rights can provide for an answer whether civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects, now the previous chapters have discussed the lack of specific regulations on the use of OSINT.

In this chapter, the case study of Shahin Gheybe will be used to assess whether a privacy breach occurred and whether this outweighed Bellingcat's right to freedom of expression and information. Firstly, the horizontal direct effect of EU fundamental rights will be explained. Secondly, all relevant facts of the case study of Shahin Gheybe will be discussed before evaluating Bellingcat's right to freedom of expression and information on the one hand and Shahin Gheybe's right to a private life on the other hand.

1. Horizontal Direct Effect of EU Fundamental Rights Law

The EU Charter itself does not state explicitly that private parties can invoke its articles in horizontal relations but the EU Court of Justice has stated in case *Association de Médiation Sociale* that this is possible for articles of the EU Charter.²⁰⁰ The previously discussed *Google Spain* case is an example of the horizontal effect of the EU Charter.²⁰¹ This case clarified that concrete legal obligations for private parties can be created based on fundamental rights protection in horizontal relations.²⁰²

To assess whether the EU Charter has direct horizontal effect in a specific case, a few steps have to be taken. First, the court will assess whether the EU Charter applies in a specific case.²⁰³ Secondly, the court will examine whether it is a right or a legal principle that is called upon, with rights having stronger legal protection than principles.²⁰⁴ Article 52(1) of the EU Charter states that limiting fundamental rights requires restrictions provided for by law that respect the essence of those rights and freedoms. The evaluation of fundamental rights in horizontal relations consists of a proportionality analysis, balancing the various fundamental rights.²⁰⁵

²⁰⁰ Case C-176/12, *Association de médiation sociale*, CJEU 2014 ECLI:EU:C:2014:2, paras 41 – 43; J.M. Emaus, *Rechten, beginselen en horizontale directe werking van de grondrechten uit het EU-handvest*, 2015, NTBR 2015/10, 6 and 9.

²⁰¹ Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* CJEU 2014 ECR. 317, ECLI:EU:C:2014:317.

²⁰² Article 52(5) EU Charter; Jessy Emaus, 'Rechten, Beginselen en Horizontale Directe Werking van de Grondrechten uit het EU-Handvest' (2015) 10 NTBR 67, 75.

²⁰³ Article 51 EU Charter; Jessy Emaus, 'Rechten, Beginselen en Horizontale Directe Werking van de Grondrechten uit het EU-Handvest' (2015) 10 NTBR 67, 75.

²⁰⁴ Article 52(5) EU Charter; Jessy Emaus, 'Rechten, Beginselen en Horizontale Directe Werking van de Grondrechten uit het EU-Handvest' (2015) 10 NTBR 67, 75.

²⁰⁵ As codified in article 52(1) EU Charter.

Member states of the ECHR can also have a positive obligation to ensure fundamental rights in horizontal relations, which can include the adoption of protective measures. The doctrine of positive obligations was once developed in relation to article 8 ECHR to ensure effective protection under the ECHR.²⁰⁶ This obligation is derived from the negative obligations of states to abstain from interference with fundamental rights. A responsibility to guarantee fundamental rights can therefore be evoked even if it concerns relations of individuals between themselves.²⁰⁷

The famous *Von Hannover* cases²⁰⁸ are important in the development of ECtHR jurisprudence on the positive obligation of a state, weighing the right to privacy against freedom of speech. Although the cases were about the publication of pictures of public figures by the press, they gave rise to a general framework regarding the balancing between the right to privacy and the right to freedom of expression.²⁰⁹ The essence of each right always has to be protected as fundamental rights should be treated with equal respect.²¹⁰ Therefore, a fair balance has to be found between the opposing interests.²¹¹

2. The Case Study of Shahin Gheybe

On the basis of the ECtHR's *Von Hannover* jurisprudence, a conclusion can be reached on the present case study of Shahin Gheybe. First, all relevant facts of Bellingcat's research into Shahin Gheybe have to be stated.

Bellingcat used Shahin Gheybe's Instagram content for OSINT to find his current physical location. His Instagram account contained over 170 pictures and videos at the time and was 'public' until somewhere in March 2019, when Shahin Gheybe landed on the Dutch

²⁰⁶ *Marckx v. Belgium* App no 6833/74 (ECtHR 13 June 1979), ECLI:CE:ECHR:1979:0613JUD000683374, para 31.

²⁰⁷ ECtHR, 'Guide on Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights - Right to Respect for Private and Family Life (Council of Europe 30 April 2019) <www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Art_8_ENG.pdf> accessed 2 August 2019 1,8.

²⁰⁸ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 1) App no 59320/00 (ECtHR, 24 June 2004), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2004:0624JUD005932000; *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008.

²⁰⁹ 'Global Freedom of Speech Columbia University' <<https://globalfreedomofexpression.columbia.edu/cases/von-hannover-v-germany-no-2/>> accessed 19 June 2019.

²¹⁰ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 106.

²¹¹ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 99; *White v. Sweden* App no 42435/02 (ECtHR 19 September 2006), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2006:0919JUD004243502, para 20.

list of most wanted criminals. After that, he made his Instagram profile ‘private’.²¹² After Shahin Gheyibe’s Instagram was made private, Bellingcat sent a follow-request. Shahin Gheyibe accepted the follow-request, giving Bellingcat access again to his personal content.²¹³ Especially one video of the 9th of March 2019, depicting a house and Shahin Gheyibe talking about the ongoing investigations, was used by Bellingcat to find his last known location.²¹⁴

Although it is not mentioned in Bellingcat’s article, Bellingcat clarified through email that half of their OSINT research took place while Shahin Gheyibe’s Instagram was public and half of it when it was private. It remains unsure whether the downloading of Shahin Gheyibe Instagram content took place before he put his Instagram account on private.²¹⁵

Bellingcat downloaded part of Shahin Gheyibe’s photos and video’s through a Google Chrome plug-in called ‘Downloader for Instagram’, that downloads all materials in high resolution, including Instagram stories. Subsequently, Bellingcat included some of these pictures and videos in its article and uploaded some photos and videos on other websites and linked to those stable websites in their article on Shahin Gheyibe. This makes it possible for Bellingcat’s readers to access the linked materials indefinitely, even if Shahin Gheyibe removes the content from his Instagram account.

The question arises whether or not Shahin Gheyibe’s right to a private life was infringed and if so, how it balances against Bellingcat’s right to freedom of expression and information.²¹⁶ In the next paragraphs, both sides of the argument will be considered.

²¹² An Instagram account is ‘public’ when everyone that searches for your account can see you all your posts: pictures, videos and ‘stories’ (which are small snippets of videos and photos that can be seen for 24 hours and then disappear). If your Instagram account is public, anyone can see the content of your profile and ‘follow’ your account by just clicking on the follow-button. If you put your Instagram account on ‘private’, people that want to see your post will first have to send a follow-request to you. Only afterwards can they see the content of your profile. As the owner of a private Instagram account, you can accept or decline follow-requests and only your followers will be able to see your pictures, videos and stories. However, comments you put underneath other people’s Instagram posts can still be seen by other Instagram users, especially if those accounts are public. If someone’s Instagram account was first public and then made private, you keep all the followers you acquired when the account was public. If someone wants a follower to not see their posts anymore, you have to individually remove the follower(s).

²¹³ Email from Bellingcat contributor and author of Bellingcat’s article on Shahin Gheyibe, Henk van Ess to author (23 July 2019), see appendix I for email correspondence.

²¹⁴ See chapter IV paragraph 1 on the reliability of OSINT, where this specific video will be discussed in more detail.

²¹⁵ Email from Bellingcat contributor and author of Bellingcat’s article on Shahin Gheyibe, Henk van Ess to author (23 July 2019), see appendix I for email correspondence.

²¹⁶ Article 7 and 11 EU Charter.

3. Bellingcat's Right to Freedom of Expression and Information

Bellingcat could argue that Shahin Gheybe's Instagram was publicly available at the time of their research, therefore making it a suitable open source for OSINT. No hacking took place nor were security measures circumvented. Since using publicly available data is a common occurrence on the internet and not illegal for civilians, this would only constitute a minor breach of privacy, if any.

For the other half of Bellingcat's research, when Shahin Gheybe's Instagram was on private, Bellingcat clarified that Shahin Gheybe had a 'rather welcoming door policy',²¹⁷ which meant that Shahin Gheybe accepted Henk van Ess' Instagram follow request right away.²¹⁸ Shahin himself accepted the following request of Bellingcat contributor Henk van Ess, therefore clearly granting access to his profile and its content without violating Shahin Gheybe's privacy.

Moreover, the ECtHR recognizes the importance of the right to freedom of expression, by stating freedom of expression is essential in a democratic society and necessary for an individual's self-fulfilment, even if ideas or information may offend, shock or disturb.²¹⁹ The press is a public watchdog protecting freedom of speech and has a duty to report on all matters of public interest. Bellingcat can be considered a public watchdog, being a civilian organization conducting OSINT and publishing on matters of public interest for the whole of society to read. Therefore, even if Bellingcat violated Shahin Gheybe's privacy, this was allowed as it was done as part of Bellingcat's task of being a public watchdog.

Furthermore, the ECtHR has stated that it matters whether the information could amount to a factual debate or simply satisfy public curiosity, with the latter generally carrying less importance.²²⁰ Bellingcat's findings amount to a factual debate and allow for fact-checking due to its transparency. Besides, Shahin Gheybe's privacy seems to not be compromised in Bellingcat's research as his permission was asked once his Instagram account was no longer publicly available and no law was breached during Bellingcat's investigation. OSINT was used, which does not have a substantial privacy implication, if any,

²¹⁷ This means Shahin Gheybe easily accepts people's follow-request for his Instagram account and therefore does not keep it very private, even though it is on private-mode. This is *inter alia* reflected in the fact that he currently has over 5.700 followers, making the account not very private, even though it is on private-mode. See: Instagram, account 'shahin.mzr' <www.instagram.com/shahin.mzr/>, accessed 24 July 24 2019.

²¹⁸ Email from Bellingcat contributor and author of Bellingcat's article on Shahin Gheybe, Henk van Ess to author (23 July 2019), see appendix I for email correspondence.

²¹⁹ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 101.

²²⁰ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 114.

as it concerns public information.

It can, therefore, be argued that there is in fact no privacy infringement and Bellingcat simply used its freedom of expression and information to investigate a convicted criminal.

4. Shahin Gheybe's Right to Private Life

Alternatively, Shahin Gheybe could argue that his privacy was in fact compromised, contrary to the arguments given by Bellingcat. Turning to the scope of the right to privacy, the ECtHR stated in the *Von Hannover* cases that:

‘the concept of private life extends to aspects relating to personal identity, such as a person’s name, photo, or physical and moral integrity and ensures the development, without outside interference, of the personality of each individual in his relations with other human beings. A zone of interaction of a person with others, even in a public context, may fall within the scope of private life’.²²¹

This shows a broad scope of the right to privacy. Shahin Gheybe could argue that the *Von Hannover* cases suggest that Bellingcat’s use of his personal information on Instagram –which includes photos and videos – amounts to an infringement of his right to privacy, as even in a zone of interactions between people in a public context like Instagram, a person can have a realistic expectation of a private life.

Shahin Gheybe accepted Bellingcat’s Instagram follow-request, allowing Bellingcat to view the content of his profile. However, this is not the same as giving Bellingcat permission to download and doxx his personal information by means of a Chrome plug-in. It seems unreasonable to expect Shahin Gheybe’s permission to also cover the latter, especially if considered that Shahin Gheybe otherwise collaborated in an investigation against himself without knowing it, which goes against the criminal law prohibition of a suspect unwittingly cooperating in his own conviction.²²²

Furthermore, different standards apply when the publication of one’s private life concerns a person acting in a public context as a public or political figure or as a private person. According to the ECtHR, a private individual can request more protection of his or

²²¹ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 1) App no 59320/00 (ECtHR, 24 June 2004), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2004:0624JUD005932000, paras 50 and 53 ; *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 95.

²²² This principle follows from the right to a fair trial as codified in article 6 ECHR. In Dutch law this is translated into the Miranda warning or caution (‘de cautie’ in Dutch) as codified in article 29(2) of the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure.

her right to privacy than a political or public figure can.²²³ Shahin Gheybe cannot be said to be a public figure as he is not famous. He should, therefore, be able to expect a reasonably high protection of his right to a private life.

Moreover, there would have been other ways for Bellingcat to use their right to freedom of expression and information, that would have infringed less on Shahin Gheybe's right to privacy. For example, they could have accessed and analysed his Instagram account, without copying or doxxing its content on their own website(s).

Therefore, it can be argued that the infringements on Shahin Gheybe's privacy are disproportional in relation to Bellingcat's right to freedom of expression and information.

5. Balancing the Fundamental Rights

Having discussed both perspectives, the interests at stake will be reviewed, including whether essential aspects or fundamental values of private life are being compromised. In the end, a fair balance has to be found between these conflicting fundamental rights.²²⁴

There are five criteria in ECtHR case-law on the balancing of the right to privacy and freedom of expression and information, that should be taken into account:²²⁵ whether the information would contribute to a debate of general interest, whether it concerns a well-known person, what the prior conduct of the person concerned is, whether consent had been given, what the form and consequences of the publication in question were and, lastly, what the circumstances were in which the information, or the photo, was collected.²²⁶

As all fundamental rights have equal weighing, the proportionality test evolves around the question of whether protection of one fundamental right can be achieved with the lowest cost possible to other fundamental rights in question.

If these five criteria are applied, it can be noted that Bellingcat's article on Shahin Gheybe contributes to a debate of general interest, as Bellingcat found the location of a fugitive Dutch criminal. Moreover, Shahin Gheybe is well-known by the government and the police, as he has been named a few times on TV-shows concerning his fugitive status.

²²³ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 110.

²²⁴ ECtHR, 'Guide on Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights - Right to Respect for Private and Family Life (Council of Europe 30 April 2019) <www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Art_8_ENG.pdf> accessed 2 August 2019 1,8.

²²⁵ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, para 108.

²²⁶ *Von Hannover v. Germany* (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008, paras 109 – 113.

However, his fame seems currently too minimal to consider him a well-known public figure in the whole of society. If he continues to receive increasing attention in the media this may change.

Concerning his previous conduct, it can be noted that Shahin Gheybe is a convicted criminal, sentenced to 13 years of imprisonment, who escaped from prison and publicly posted pictures and videos on his Instagram account, sometimes teasing the police by statements like ‘catch me if you can’.²²⁷ His online behaviour on Instagram is provoking and seems to call for the public’s attention, which shows that his past behaviour is one of the reasons for the increased publicity and his decreased privacy.

When looking whether consent had been given by Shahin Gheybe and the circumstances of the collection of his personal data, it could be argued that he implicitly agreed for his personal information to be publicly known, as he first had a public Instagram account. Moreover, even after Shahin Gheybe turned his Instagram account into a private account he continued to have a ‘rather welcoming door policy’.²²⁸ Shahin Gheybe explicitly allowed Bellingcat to access this information.

However, it seems unlikely that Shahin Gheybe’s intention was to actively aid Bellingcat in its research against himself. Moreover, Shahin Gheybe did not explicitly give permission to Bellingcat to save, analyse and doxx his Instagram content. A follow request only entails a request to view the content and respond to it by posting comments or liking it. Giving permission to someone to view and comment on personal information is not the same as giving permission to save, analyse and doxx the same information.

The difficulty here is that giving someone permission to access one’s personal photos and videos on Instagram, in practice, also entails giving permission to save and analyse this information, as it is simple to do so once one has access to someone’s Instagram account.

Because of the collected data from Shahin Gheybe Instagram, Bellingcat had enough information to start a crowdsourcing campaign that led to Shahin Gheybe’s latest location. Moreover, the personal information on Instagram led to a publication on Bellingcat’s website and other online media channels, giving Shahin Gheybe photos, name and videos far wider exposure than they had previously, when they were only posted on his Instagram account.

²²⁷ This quote is the title of one of his Instagram posts on his private Instagram. See: Instagram, account ‘shahin.mzr’ <www.instagram.com/shahin.mzr/>, accessed 24 July 2019.

²²⁸ See footnote 216 for the explanation of the ‘rather welcoming door policy’; Email from Bellingcat contributor and author of Bellingcat’s article on Shahin Gheybe, Henk van Ess to author (23 July 2019), see appendix I for email correspondence.

This review reveals a mixed picture. On the one hand, it shows the importance and relevance of doing online research on a fugitive criminal and publish the findings, exercising the right to freedom of speech and information. Following this perspective, Bellingcat's right to freedom of expression and information prevails as Shahin Gheybe is a fugitive criminal who simply created leads in his own investigation by being public on social media.

On the other hand, this case study portrays the image of a civilian that gave away more of his privacy than he could have reasonably expected. The fact that Shahin Gheybe's last known location was found due to information he provided for himself on his personal Instagram account, seems to go against the legal prohibition of a suspect unwittingly cooperating in his own conviction.²²⁹

Whether or not Shahin Gheybe consciously chose, or should have been conscious of his choice to aid investigations against himself seems vital in deciding which fundamental right prevails in the scenario, which is difficult to prove in the context of OSINT.

6. Sub-conclusion

A large part of weighing up Shahin Gheybe's privacy interests against Bellingcat's freedom of expression depends on the way OSINT is treated. On the one hand, one can view OSINT as a useful intelligence discipline based on publicly available data, which concerns information free from any substantial privacy concerns due to its public nature.

On the other hand, OSINT can be viewed as a method of investigation undermining the right to privacy, disguising itself as free of privacy implication, while some of the information used by OSINT is not necessarily information people wanted to become as public as it did. This means that people should be protected online against unwittingly giving away more of their privacy than they might want or think they are giving away.

It also means that once information is public, it should still be treated with care and its use should be regulated to protect people whose information is out there without their consent. Relating this to the case study, the question arises whether separate permission of Shahin Gheybe should have been given to Bellingcat to download and doxx his personal data, or whether the fact that the data was publicly available meant that Bellingcat did not have to ask for permission.

The answer to this question is mainly dependent on the role society want to attribute to OSINT which will in turn largely depend on the usefulness of the practice of OSINT in

²²⁹ See footnote 221.

investigations. The prevailing benefits or detriments of OSINT as a practice will determine whether future regulations will allow for more liberal use of publicly available information or more restriction. Ethical and political considerations indicate the direction in which the law will go.

Therefore, the next chapter will focus on the various ethical and political considerations on the use of OSINT, civilian criminal investigations and online vigilante justice. Subsequently, chapter six will discuss the possibilities of legally regulating OSINT to find a fitting legal approach to settle the problematic relationship between OSINT and privacy.

V. Ethical and Political Considerations on Civilian Criminal Investigations

The changing landscape of criminal investigations has not only increased civilians' role in criminal investigations but also seen the rise of a new type of justice. This chapter looks at OSINT, civilian criminal investigations and vigilante justice arising from civilian criminal investigations from both an internal-legal perspective and an external-normative perspective.

The internal-legal perspective assumes 'sharing the perspective of judges, lawyers, legislators or citizens who engage in legal practice'.²³⁰ The external-normative perspective includes the evaluation of these phenomena from both a moral and political point of view, to come to well-rounded recommendations.²³¹ Combining these two perspectives enables more thorough review of OSINT's, civilian criminal investigations' and vigilante justice' benefits and detriments.

This chapter gives coherent recommendations whether these practices should be encouraged or discouraged, *inter alia* by means of the case-study on Shahin Gheybe. Afterwards, chapter six will propose a regulation on the use of OSINT in civilian criminal investigations.

1. Reliability of OSINT

Firstly, the reliability of OSINT as a means of research is discussed. In the case study, the social media platform Instagram was the main source for OSINT. Bellingcat was able to answer the question whom Shahin Gheybe interacted with and where he was residing by investigating his Instagram and tracing Shahin Gheybe's interactions with other Instagram users.

By analysing a video on Instagram of March 9th 2019 – depicting a house and Shahin Gheybe himself talking and mocking the police – Bellingcat was able to find his latest location at the time.²³² The flowers, the garbage can, the size of the well-maintained garden and the size and architectural style of the house, all depicted in the video, were cues in tracing his location. These cues would have never been found without his social media presence and were vital in locating Shahin Gheybe. The case study is proof of the enormous knowledge that social media can yield in criminal investigations.

²³⁰ See footnote 40.

²³¹ See chapter I on the methodology of this master thesis for more information.

²³² See chapter IV paragraph 2 for an overview of all the facts concerning the case study of Shahin Gheybe.

However, open-source information like social media posts, can also be deceptive. By either using a different geotag²³³ than the real location of the photo or video, tagging other people than were present in the photo or by photoshopping a certain object or background, the audience can be tricked. Bellingcat tries to prevent this by looking at pictures and videos that include a clearly identifiable image of the subject of their research, or a distinctly recognizable object.²³⁴ However, if a subject is aware of their research – which is not too difficult as Bellingcat posts its research method step-by-step online on their website – he or she could try to intentionally deceive Bellingcat, influencing its findings. It is dangerous that open-source information can be altered, depicting fake cues or the wrong people in pictures or videos. It seems necessary to let experts first consider whether open-source information is trustworthy before using it in research.

2. Transparency of OSINT

A key characteristic of OSINT is that it differs from more traditional knowledge gathering disciplines because it functions in full transparency.²³⁵ Bellingcat's OSINT research is an example, as its methods and findings are explained precisely in the articles on its website. Its online audience can follow every step of the investigation process.²³⁶ This transparency can work both ways.

A positive effect of the transparency of OSINT is that openness about online investigative methods and activities is known to generate trust. This trust is necessary to mobilize other civilians to contribute to investigations, of which crowdsourcing is an example.²³⁷

Another positive effect of the transparency of OSINT is that it allows other civilians to review the methods and findings of the civilian investigator, to check its credibility.²³⁸ Nevertheless, considering the fact that most civilian criminal investigations using OSINT

²³³ Geotagging is putting GPS-coordinates of a certain location on online content, like a photo or a video, to show what someone's physical location is or was.

²³⁴ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 14 July 2019.

²³⁵ Leonore ten Hulsen and Sophia Mard, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 76, 77.

²³⁶ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 July 2019.

²³⁷ Leonore ten Hulsen and Sophia Mard, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 76, 77.

²³⁸ Leonore ten Hulsen and Sophia Mard, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 76, 77.

work on a voluntary basis, it is questionable whether there are sufficient means available to ensure proper reviews to verify other civilians' investigations.²³⁹

Bellingcat argues that its transparency is often the reason why it gets so far with its investigations. Shahin Gheybe's last known location would never have been found without the help of over 60 Twitter users in a big crowdsourcing action on Twitter.²⁴⁰ OSINT allows for distributed expertise and crowdsourcing serves as a communal focus on solving a problem by sharing knowledge between various internet users.²⁴¹ Where the police oftentimes have expertise within a certain field, like cybercrime, the public can consist of various experts in a variety of fields.²⁴²

Moreover, the public constitutes an undefined amount of people, not constrained to a location or time. They can serve as additional 'eyes and ears' to the investigators, although steering these eyes and ears in the right direction is vital for them to be useful.²⁴³

Besides, since people contribute in a civilian capacity they are participating on a voluntary, cost-free basis. Crowdsourcing as a method of investigation therefore saves time and money, while extending the research possibilities. Crowdsourcing could even prove useful in supporting the comparatively limited resources of the government.²⁴⁴

However, a strange property of crowdsourcing is the double identity civilians are attributed. On the one hand, civilians are the suspects being surveyed. On the other hand, they are the surveillance. An example of this double standard is the existence of various hotlines present in most countries, to report all types of unwanted behaviour. This can bring caution and mistrust into a society, weakening social ties within a community.

Social media accounts can likewise serve as a means of surveillance, due to the personal nature of the cyberspace.²⁴⁵ The line between civilian participation and civilian

²³⁹ Leonore ten Hulsen and Sophia Mard, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 76, 78.

²⁴⁰ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 14 July 2019.

²⁴¹ Leonore ten Hulsen and Sophia Mard, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 76, 77; Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 348.

²⁴² Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 348.

²⁴³ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 357 and 359.

²⁴⁴ Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 *IEEE Security & Privacy* 56, 60.

²⁴⁵ Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 *IEEE Security & Privacy* 56, 58.

monitoring is delicate.

Another negative effect of OSINT is that it can be difficult to keep the lead in an investigation if the suspect can track the researchers' methods and findings. In the case study, Shahin Gheyibe could read on Bellingcat's website that his location was found, allowing him to relocate himself and to regain his anonymity.

Shahin Gheyibe himself also criticised Bellingcat's research on him and stated on Instagram that the Dutch newspaper AD and Bellingcat are 'throwing money away' by doing investigations into his last known location and publishing about it online. He states: 'I already put the location above the photo²⁴⁶ that you [read: Bellingcat and the media] are referring to. Do not throw away your money for investigations like this. Just ask me or pay attention'.²⁴⁷

Bellingcat states that it sends the police 'even the tiniest leads' during its investigations to give them a head start, but it is uncertain whether this head start will be of any advantage. The police will need time to check the lead to see if it is trustworthy and accurate. By that time, Bellingcat's research might have been announced publicly on Twitter or Bellingcat's website, rendering the research outdated.

3. Effectiveness of OSINT

Next to reliability and transparency issues, OSINT lacks legal consequences when used in the context of civilian criminal investigations as civilians are not competent to prosecute or punish suspects. In the case study, Bellingcat brought its research to the Dutch police but nothing happened afterwards. The lack of an extradition treaty with Iran prevented further legal steps.²⁴⁸ Civilians might be able to hand over substantive proof regarding a crime, but in the end it is up to the authorities to prosecute or not.

Another Bellingcat investigation of a lethal shooting in Cameroon ran into the same problem. Bellingcat was able to identify the perpetrators that shot women and children based

²⁴⁶ The news article does not specify which photo Shahin Gheyibe is referring to exactly, but it concerns one of the pictures used in Bellingcat investigative research, see: Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 14 July 2019.

²⁴⁷ Sebastiaan Quekel, 'Rosmalense 'Treitercrimineel' Gheyibe Blijft Voortvluchtig en Tart op Instagram Ook de Media' *Algemeen Dagblad* (19 maart 2019) <www.ad.nl/den-bosch/rosmalense-treitercrimineel-gheyibe-blijft-voortvluchtig-en-tart-op-instagram-ook-de-media~a6f4a988/> accessed 27 July 2019.

²⁴⁸ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 14 July 2019; Sebastiaan Quekel, 'Crimineel Die Zich Bij Echtpaar Verstopte Tijdens Klopjacht Zegt 'Sorry'' *Algemeen Dagblad* (22 April 2019) <www.ad.nl/binnenland/crimineel-die-zich-bij-echtpaar-verstopte-tijdens-klopjacht-zegt-sorry~a6a910960/> accessed 27 July 2019.

on a YouTube video and proved that they were members of the Cameroon military. Afterwards, Bellingcat handed over the evidence to the Cameroon government who issued an arrest warrant for the two suspects, promising it would start an investigation. However, a year after this promise no investigation has been initiated and no arrest has been made.²⁴⁹ This shows that civilian criminal investigations on itself lack the legal implications necessary to hold perpetrators accountable.

Moreover, civilians participation is increasingly encouraged and civilians' findings are even used in police investigations, for example through an app for civilians to aid criminal investigations,²⁵⁰ without providing for any legal safeguards like proportionality, subsidiarity and objectivity. These safeguards are necessary for the judicial system to function fairly and reasonably. By delegating certain aspect of criminal investigations to civilians, the police are circumventing restrictions in the law aimed at protecting civil liberties, as civilian investigators are not bound by these restrictions.²⁵¹ This undermines the rule of law.

Furthermore, civilians do not receive any proper training in doing investigative work. This means they might act on biases or gut feelings, causing nuisance to innocent people, either online or offline. Because of the non-neutral nature of technology at large, it is important for investigators to be aware of their build-in biases and how these can affect their investigations.²⁵²

A lack of police guidance or feedback on civilian investigations can cause civilians efforts to be flawed or illegal, leading to a waste of time and resources on both ends, since the police will first need to check the material handed in by civilian investigators.²⁵³

Besides, there is a risk of justice becoming a scarce good, reserved for the few. If civilians are increasingly involved in criminal investigations, the unwanted consequence might be that victims with more knowledge, power or money can organize bigger, better or

²⁴⁹ Isabella Banks and Leonore ten Hulsen, 'Human Rights Weekend: Artificial Intelligence, Big Data & Human Rights: Progress or Setback?' (2019) 11 Amsterdam Law Forum 70, 72.

²⁵⁰ See chapter III paragraph 2 on the changing landscape of justice administration for more information on this app; Politie, 'Politie en OM Lanceren App voor Burgeronderzoek' *Politie.nl* (27 May 2019) <www.politie.nl/nieuws/2019/mei/27/00-politie-en-om-lanceren-app-voor-burgeronderzoek.html> accessed 7 June 2019.

²⁵¹ Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 IEEE Security & Privacy 56, 60.

²⁵² Isabella Banks and Leonore ten Hulsen, 'Human Rights Weekend: Artificial Intelligence, Big Data & Human Rights: Progress or Setback?' (2019) 11 Amsterdam Law Forum 70, 72 and 75.

²⁵³ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 British Journal of Criminology 341, 353.

more thorough investigations.²⁵⁴ Justice should remain accessible to everyone. The collaboration between civilians and the government should therefore be seen as an addition to traditional criminal investigations and not serve as a replacement of police investigations, necessary because of budget cuts or lack of capacity of the police to investigate.²⁵⁵

In light of the previous arguments, it seems evident that civilians' criminal investigations and their use of OSINT can have serious downsides. Legal safeguards should be implemented to ensure the rule of law.

4. Online Vigilante Justice

Online vigilante justice is increasingly present as a consequence of the lack of legal implications of civilian criminal investigations.

Previously, the surge of an alternative type of justice seeking and administrating was explained called online vigilante justice, but not yet its benefits and detriments to society.²⁵⁶ On the one hand, online vigilante justice can be beneficial to society. For example, there are websites run by volunteers that create blacklists of spambots, to keep an overview of real and automated online behaviour. Moreover, there are volunteer patrols of netizens on E-bay that check apparent frauds to protect consumers.²⁵⁷ Especially in the shape of collaborations between government and civilians, types of online vigilante behaviour of netizens can contribute to the realization of public security goals.²⁵⁸

However, there are many problematic sides to online vigilante justice. Firstly, if subjective versions of justice are created and sustained, state legitimacy will erode. The belief that the government is incapable of providing security will be fuelled, in turn stimulating other initiatives of vigilante justice.²⁵⁹

One of the most problematic aspects of online vigilante justice might be the risk of

²⁵⁴ Pim Lindeman, 'Burgers Die Zelf Misdrijven Oplossen Onontkoombare Trend, 'Als Ze Maar Niet Eigen Rechter Spelen'' *De Gelderlander* (19 April 2019) <www.gelderlander.nl/enschede/burgers-die-zelf-misdrijven-oplossen-onontkoombare-trend-als-ze-maar-niet-eigen-rechter-spelen~a46c3d76/> accessed 29 July 2019.

²⁵⁵ Pim Lindeman, 'Burgers Die Zelf Misdrijven Oplossen Onontkoombare Trend, 'Als Ze Maar Niet Eigen Rechter Spelen'' *De Gelderlander* (19 April 2019) <www.gelderlander.nl/enschede/burgers-die-zelf-misdrijven-oplossen-onontkoombare-trend-als-ze-maar-niet-eigen-rechter-spelen~a46c3d76/> accessed 29 July 2019.

²⁵⁶ See chapter III paragraph 2 on the changing landscape of justice administration for more information on the emergence of online vigilante justice.

²⁵⁷ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance* 101, 103.

²⁵⁸ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance* 101, 104.

²⁵⁹ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance* 101, 108.

wrongly suspecting, shaming or doxxing a person.²⁶⁰ Vital principles of criminal law, like the presumption of innocence, proportionality, subsidiarity and objectivity, are easily disregarded when administering online vigilante justice.²⁶¹ Online vigilante justice opens up the possibility of administering justice when there is in fact no injustice taking place.²⁶² This can lead to troublesome situations, like when an American teenager committed suicide due to bullying, the wrong person was suspected of bullying her and his personal information was doxxed by the activist group Anonymous.²⁶³

Another example involves an undergraduate student who was wrongfully suspected of partaking in the Boston marathon bombings and whose identity and personal details were doxxed. His family received many letters and threats before it became public knowledge that the student was wrongfully accused of being one of the perpetrators.²⁶⁴

There is in fact little legal protection for victims of online vigilante justice. In case of faulty accusations by civilian investigators, a victim has far fewer legal remedies than a suspect in a criminal law case.²⁶⁵ In case of doxxing, a victim will have to go to the civil law judge to argue his or her case and the judge will have to assess the case based on a horizontal weighing of the fundamental rights involved.²⁶⁶ Most often the victim would want to stop the wider spread of the personal information, which can be close to impossible in a digital context.

In some cases, like in the example of paedophiles,²⁶⁷ the victims of online vigilante justice will most likely not even report the act of vigilante justice to the police because of the consequences this can have for themselves. Vigilantes often have compromising evidence that could lead to prosecution of the paedophiles and therefore acts as a safeguard that their victims will keep quiet.²⁶⁸

Lastly, if civilians take justice into their own hands, they can frustrate ongoing

²⁶⁰ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 353.

²⁶¹ Eelco Moerman, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' (2019) 94 *NJB* 1, 4 and 5.

²⁶² Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 *IEEE Security & Privacy* 56, 56.

²⁶³ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 342.

²⁶⁴ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 354 and 358.

²⁶⁵ Eelco Moerman, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' (2019) 94 *NJB* 1, 4.

²⁶⁶ See chapter IV on the horizontal effect of fundamental rights for more information.

²⁶⁷ See chapter III paragraph 2 on the changing landscape of justice administration for more information on the emergence of online vigilante justice and the example of the paedophiles.

²⁶⁸ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance* 101, 106.

investigations by tainting with evidence or leaking sensitive information as part of their online vigilante justice, which could lead to the failure of an investigation.²⁶⁹

All in all, online vigilante justice risks jeopardizing many legal safeguards, creates legal uncertainty and destabilizes the rule of law which is necessary in a democratic society. Therefore, it should be clearly regulated and restricted to prevent unnecessary harm.

5. The Need for Regulation

In November 2018, a Dutch politician called Chris van Dam advocated in parliament to establish a guideline on civilian criminal investigations, referring to troublesome behaviour of civilians in neighbourhood watch-apps.²⁷⁰ He argued that clear rules have to be created that civilians must adhere to when participating in criminal investigations.

Currently, there is only one right that civilians have when it comes to criminal investigations: to arrest perpetrators in the act,²⁷¹ which is insufficient considering the increasing tasks of civilians in criminal investigations. Van Dam suggested that the government should offer a short training in addition to the to-be-established guideline, that civilians have to partake in before contributing to criminal investigations.²⁷²

In the US, a federal law on doxxing has already been introduced in Congress. The proposal aims to ‘criminalize disclosure of personal information with the intent to cause harm’.²⁷³ Even though it seems like a promising step for victims of doxxing, it is questionable whether enforcement of this law will be feasible, as anonymity online is easily reached. Moreover, once information is public online, it will be difficult to remove. This is often illustrated by the saying ‘the internet never forgets’.

To protect civilians against the detriments of civilian criminal investigations and online vigilante justice, legally binding measures are needed. An option for desirable use of civilian criminal investigations could be to create evidence standards for civilians, which can

²⁶⁹ Lennon Y.C. Chang, Lena Y. Zhong and Peter N. Grabosky, ‘Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime’ (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance* 101, 109.

²⁷⁰ Pim Lindeman, ‘Burgers Die Zelf Misdrijven Oplossen Onontkoombare Trend, ‘Als Ze Maar Niet Eigen Rechter Spelen’ *De Gelderlander* (19 April 2019) <www.gelderlander.nl/enschede/burgers-die-zelf-misdrijven-oplossen-onontkoombare-trend-als-ze-maar-niet-eigen-rechter-spelen~a46c3d76/> accessed 29 July 2019.

²⁷¹ Article 53 Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure.

²⁷² Pim Lindeman, ‘Burgers Die Zelf Misdrijven Oplossen Onontkoombare Trend, ‘Als Ze Maar Niet Eigen Rechter Spelen’ *De Gelderlander* (19 April 2019) <www.gelderlander.nl/enschede/burgers-die-zelf-misdrijven-oplossen-onontkoombare-trend-als-ze-maar-niet-eigen-rechter-spelen~a46c3d76/> accessed 29 July 2019.

²⁷³ HR 3067, introduced in the House of Representatives (June 27 2017), in: Jeffrey Pittman, *Privacy in the Age of Doxxing* (2018) 10 *Southern Journal of Business & Ethics* 53, 55.

filter wrong suspicions, unlawful evidence and can ensure legal safeguards in the investigation. Another measure could be aimed at redefining OSINT and legally regulating its privacy implications.²⁷⁴

Alternatively, the police could give more direction to civilian investigators, by requesting or describing the type of help they need, narrowing the public's efforts in the right direction.²⁷⁵ The police could also focus on encouraging civilians to send their efforts to the police and discourage civilians to engage in their own type of vigilante justice online.²⁷⁶

6. Sub-conclusion

Private parties can contribute to filling in voids in criminal investigations, inter alia through the power of crowdsourcing, to reach the common goal of providing security for all.²⁷⁷ By stimulating collaboration between police and civilians, national security, investigation efforts and justice seeking can be democratized.²⁷⁸

Moreover, attributing legal implications to civilian criminal investigations can subsequently lower the need for types of online vigilante justice. Initiatives like the app by the Dutch police and the eyeWitness to Atrocities-app should therefore be encouraged,²⁷⁹ while online vigilante justice on itself should be restricted as much as possible.

The occurrence of vigilante justice has proven to be an inappropriate replacement for our governmental system of justice. It is important to ensure legal safeguards throughout civilian criminal investigations and justice seeking. Civilian criminal investigations require regulation to protect investigations and suspects against reliability, transparency and effectivity issues.

In conclusion, OSINT on itself and civilian investigators are useful additions to the existing means of criminal investigations as long as potential suspects receive legal protection. This will be taken into account in chapter six, which will discuss the possibilities of legally regulating OSINT in civilian criminal investigations.

²⁷⁴ One way privacy can be protected from diligantes is by implementing the digital home right as a proxy for privacy combined with the theory of privacy as contextual integrity. This will be discussed in chapter VI.

²⁷⁵ Johnny Nhan, Laura Huey and Ryan Broll, 'Diligantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 *British Journal of Criminology* 341, 359.

²⁷⁶ Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 *IEEE Security & Privacy* 56, 60.

²⁷⁷ Eelco Moerman, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' (2019) 94 *NJB* 1, 5.

²⁷⁸ Isabella Banks and Leonore ten Hulsen, 'Human Rights Weekend: Artificial Intelligence, Big Data & Human Rights: Progress or Setback?' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum* 70, 75.

²⁷⁹ Politie, 'Politie en OM Lanceren App voor Burgeronderzoek' *Politie.nl* (27 May 2019)

<www.politie.nl/nieuws/2019/mei/27/00-politie-en-om-lanceren-app-voor-burgeronderzoek.html> accessed 7 June 2019; 'EyeWitness Project' <www.eyewitnessproject.org/> accessed 29 July 2019.

VI. Alternative Theories on Privacy in relation to OSINT

The previous chapters have tried to grasp in what ways civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects. This chapter focuses on the subsequent part of the research question, namely how to protect the privacy of suspects in civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT.

The previous chapter concluded that OSINT can be a useful tool for aiding criminal investigations. The aim of legally regulating OSINT in civilian criminal investigations should therefore be to protect privacy of potential suspects, without restricting the use of OSINT in its entirety.

Firstly, the challenges posed by the changing landscape of criminal investigations to the traditional conceptualization of privacy are discussed. Secondly, this chapter will propose a theoretical solution, by redefining parts of privacy in the public sphere.

By following Nissenbaum's approach to privacy as contextual integrity and combining it with Koop's proposed new privacy proxy of 'the digital home', a different approach to OSINT is argued for, allowing for effective legal regulations of privacy in civilian criminal investigations. This way, substantive protection of privacy can be given to those who are subject to OSINT in civilian criminal investigations.

1. The Problems with the Traditional Three Principles of Privacy

Chapter two elaborated on the traditional principles of privacy, inherent in every theory on privacy protection.²⁸⁰ Now, the difficulties of applying them to situations which concern new technological developments will be discussed.

Firstly, it is difficult to define the boundaries of traditional privacy principles as they depend largely on a specific culture and time.²⁸¹ These principles are portrayed as universal, whereas in reality they can differ substantively depending on their context.

In a recent court case in the Netherlands, the Supreme Court created new rules on searching a smartphone,²⁸² putting emphasis on whether or not a complete image of a person's life can be formed by searching the smartphone to judge the severity of the breach of privacy. If a more or less complete image of certain aspects of a person's life can be

²⁸⁰ See chapter II paragraph 1 for the traditional theories and principles on privacy; Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 124.

²⁸¹ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 132.

²⁸² HR 4 April 2017 *Smartphone-arrest*, ECLI:NL:HR:2017:584.

created based on a digital medium like a smartphone, the search can be found unlawful, if it lacks a specific legal ground.²⁸³ This case exemplifies a changing perception of the boundaries of privacy and shows a combined approach to privacy based on informational and locational privacy.²⁸⁴

Moreover, the traditional principles are not suited to situations of surveillance in public, in which new technologies play a role.²⁸⁵ An example of such non-applicability arises in the case of OSINT. OSINT extends the possibility to observe, gather and analyse information about people and their behaviour.

According to the principle of information privacy,²⁸⁶ OSINT should not pose any privacy problems if it does not include sensitive personal information. However, this ignores the potential detailed picture that can be created of someone's personal life after analyses of seemingly non-private information, like metadata. Metadata can be more revealing than content, allowing for a detailed picture, including relationships, political views or sexual preference.²⁸⁷ Such practices violate people's privacy. According to the principle of location privacy,²⁸⁸ information retrieved from publicly available sources does not lead to a privacy violation, as the information is located in a public zone.

However, when a person creates a complete image of someone's private life based on OSINT, it can be intrusive to one's privacy, even if the information is not sensitive personal information and located in a public sphere. The traditional principles of privacy do not offer an explanation for this as they are unable to adapt to new dimensions of time, location and cultural influence.²⁸⁹

Moreover, the sole option of dichotomies in the traditional three-principle framework – like the choice between the public and private sphere – does not allow for flexibility in an age where the lines between public and private life are blurring.²⁹⁰ This shows the need for a more modern, technology-adapted, principle, which Nissenbaum provides in the shape of contextual integrity.

²⁸³ HR 4 April 2017 *Smartphone-arrest*, ECLI:NL:HR:2017:584, para 2.6.

²⁸⁴ See chapter two paragraph 1 for more background information on the traditional theories and principles on privacy.

²⁸⁵ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 134.

²⁸⁶ As explained in chapter II paragraph 1, information privacy refers to the nature of information and how societal standards judge its level of 'intimacy, sensitivity or confidentiality'.

²⁸⁷ Yves-Alexandre de Montjoye and others, 'Unique in The Crowd: The Privacy Bounds of Human Mobility' (2013) 3 *Scientific Reports* 1, 1 and 4.

²⁸⁸ As explained in chapter II paragraph 1, location privacy refers to privacy connected to certain places, like one's home. Depending on the privacy of a setting, the severity of the privacy violation is judged.

²⁸⁹ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 136.

²⁹⁰ See chapter 2 paragraph 1 on the changing landscape of criminal investigations.

2. Privacy as Contextual Integrity

To solve the difficulties that the right to privacy faces with the emergence of technological developments, this thesis focuses on combining a proposed proxy to privacy with the theory of privacy as contextual integrity.

The theory of privacy as contextual integrity is based on the idea that everything always has a context and no area of life is inherently free from privacy concerns.²⁹¹ Contextual integrity acknowledges these varying contexts and argues that these each have their own ‘set of norms, which governs its various aspects such as roles, expectations, actions and practices’.²⁹²

These contexts cannot all be made explicit, but are rooted in common beliefs, common experiences and literature.²⁹³ The norms governing information about people in certain contexts can be divided into two types: ‘norms of appropriateness’ and ‘norms of flow or distribution’.²⁹⁴ Whenever either type of norm is violated, the contextual integrity is violated and a privacy breach occurs.²⁹⁵

2.1. Norms of Appropriateness

Norms of appropriateness refer to norms that govern whether it is appropriate, fitting or even expected to reveal certain information about people in a certain context.²⁹⁶ Every place and context is governed by these norms, both private and more public spheres. The fact that information distribution in one context can seem appropriate, does not mean that the same information distribution will always be appropriate, when the context changes.

An example is sharing information on one’s love life with their friends, but not their family. If information is appropriated from one context to another, a violation of the norms of appropriateness occurs.²⁹⁷ Another example would be sharing personal information with a friend in private messages on Facebook and that information becoming public knowledge at work. Following this theory, being active online in a (semi-)public sphere like social media should not preclude one from having any reasonable expectation of privacy.²⁹⁸

²⁹¹ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 137.

²⁹² Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 137.

²⁹³ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 137.

²⁹⁴ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 138.

²⁹⁵ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 138.

²⁹⁶ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 138.

²⁹⁷ Helen Nissenbaum, ‘Privacy as Contextual Integrity’ (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 140.

²⁹⁸ See chapter III paragraph 4 for the explanation of a semi-open source.

2.2. Norms of Flow or Distribution

Norms of flow or distribution²⁹⁹ refer to norms that govern whether the transfer of information between parties is appropriate or fitting, depending on the context. The norms of distribution differ from norms of appropriateness as the latter focus on the appropriateness of sharing information in a certain context, whereas norms of distribution focus on whether the distribution of that information respects contextual norms like confidentiality, free choice, discretion, need, entitlement and obligation, amongst others.³⁰⁰

For example, if someone shares information with a friend and tells her to keep it a secret but she tells their parents, she violated the contextual norm of confidentiality, which functions as the norm of information distribution.

On the internet, the norms governing the exchange of information depend on the platform and online context. On Facebook Messenger or Instagram, one might expect a norm of discretion concerning the exchange of the information one posts, whereas on Google or Wikipedia the norm regulating the distribution of information is the free choice to post and entitlement to use, copy or analyse the information. The first information one considers more personal, whereas the latter information one considers free, public knowledge.

It is important to note that the violation of these norms could still be justified by weighing the right to privacy against other rights, like freedom of speech and right to information.³⁰¹ Freedom of speech, free press and security are often named to argue for free flows of information and a justification of privacy violations.³⁰² Whether or not a justification applies, will have to be judged on a case-by-case basis.

3. OSINT, Contextual Integrity and Privacy Protection

The theory of contextual integrity gives an explanation for the prevalence of privacy in public settings and is, therefore, relevant in relation to OSINT. In many digital settings, including on social media, social norms and social practices are in fact currently the only mechanisms governing privacy.

To a large extent, the problem with OSINT is that it concerns information in a public sphere, which brings with it the assumption of it being freely accessible, whereas it can also contain information that people want to keep private. This in itself causes a privacy paradox:

²⁹⁹ Hereinafter these norms will be referred to as the norms of distribution.

³⁰⁰ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 141 and 142.

³⁰¹ Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 151.

³⁰² Helen Nissenbaum, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 *Washington Law Review* 119, 147.

the information is publicly available and accessible for all, therefore inherently not private, but at the same time, the information is often (sensitive) personal information and is therefore inherently private.

Some argue that there is no paradox, because people themselves have uploaded the information, or given permission for the information to be put online and subsequently have given up their privacy. According to this view, sharing information online is an individual responsibility.³⁰³ Once people post their personal information online, they give up their privacy consciously and make the information publicly accessible.

According to this view, it is one's own fault if their personal information becomes public, if it gets used in a way that the concerned individual does not approve of or when he/she experiences negative effects from posting information online, like doxxing.

This view of privacy as an individual responsibility is a widely shared approach to privacy both online and offline, even though it amounts to a type of victim-blaming. It portrays privacy as something one will only need if a person has 'something to hide'.³⁰⁴

This approach unfairly favours personal choice and overvalues one's ability to estimate privacy implications over other factors that can cause personal information to be publicly available. For example, the structure of social media provides companies with an insight into one's social connections and interactions with others without that person consciously or actively sharing it.³⁰⁵ Other information people share subconsciously includes the use of third-party apps, advertisement interactions, clicking behaviour and screen time.³⁰⁶

The way social media platforms like Facebook are built, tricks people into sharing information by leveraging trust.³⁰⁷ Social media platforms are based on human social needs and are designed to nudge users to disclose.³⁰⁸ Information is gathered by constant monitoring of the platforms with the unwanted consequence that the information can end up somewhere online, potentially publicly available, without a person wanting it to.³⁰⁹ This

³⁰³ Alice Marwick, Claire Fontaine and Danah Boyd, "Nobody Sees It, Nobody Gets Mad": Social Media, Privacy and Personal Responsibility Among Low-SES Youth' (2017) 3 *Social Media + Society* 1, 1.

³⁰⁴ Alice Marwick, Claire Fontaine and Danah Boyd, "Nobody Sees It, Nobody Gets Mad": Social Media, Privacy and Personal Responsibility Among Low-SES Youth' (2017) 3 *Social Media + Society* 1, 1.

³⁰⁵ Wouter Stol and Litska Strikwerda, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 *Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid* 8, 8.

³⁰⁶ Screen time refers to the amount of time that someone looks at something online, like an add or a video, before one scrolls further, which shows, for example, whether someone found content (not) funny or interesting.

³⁰⁷ Ari E Waldman, 'Privacy, Sharing and Trust: The Facebook Study' (2016) 67 *Case Western Reserve Law Review* 193, 193.

³⁰⁸ Ari E Waldman, 'Privacy, Sharing and Trust: The Facebook Study' (2016) 67 *Case Western Reserve Law Review* 193, 195.

³⁰⁹ Ari E Waldman, 'Privacy, Sharing and Trust: The Facebook Study' (2016) 67 *Case Western Reserve Law Review* 193, 194.

means that users can be tricked or misled into sharing information and blamed for it afterwards.

It is problematic that people think they are in control of their personal information, while the control is *de facto* also in the hands of others. This deficiency in privacy protection can be addressed by applying Nissenbaums' framework.

Firstly, because the theory of contextual integrity does not work with dichotomies, rendering a situation as black and white as the privacy paradox impossible. Everything always has a notion of privacy and the context will decide whether or not privacy concerns should prevail. This counters the idea of privacy as an individual choice or responsibility that can be disposed of.

Moreover, contextual integrity asks us to look at the governing norms of a situation, making generalizations like the proposed paradox above inapplicable to real life environments. By focusing on the norms governing the appropriateness of information in contexts and norms of distribution governing information transferring, the victim-blaming can be countered. Now that the potential application of contextual integrity for general OSINT has been explained, the case study will show its use in a specific context.

4. The Case-study of Shahin Gheybe through the Lens of Contextual Integrity

The use of Shahin Gheybe's social media account on Instagram will be analysed to see whether it amounted to a breach of privacy through the lens of privacy as contextual integrity. Firstly, the norms of appropriateness will have to be considered. In the case of OSINT, this means that organizations like Bellingcat have to answer the question whether the information that they want to publish is appropriate in the context of where they want to publish it. The deciding factor is not whether the information is already available or whether the subject uploaded the information himself or herself.

The pictures of Shahin Gheybe's Instagram account, including photos of holidays and Christmas celebrations, seem inappropriate to the public website of Bellingcat.³¹⁰ These pictures are fitting to the context of Instagram, where people post personal pictures of family and friends all the time, but less suitable for a public website of an international civilians' collective.

Secondly, the norms of distribution should be taken into account, governing the

³¹⁰ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 21 July 2019.

context of an information transfer. Social media platforms like Instagram have a norm of discretion or confidentiality as it concerns an online sphere used mostly for personal interactions. The level of discretion or confidentiality depends on factors like the amount of ‘friends’ of followers one has on the platform and whether the account is publicly available or on ‘private’.³¹¹ Whether the transfer of the information respects norms of distribution, does not depend on online information being already publicly available or not.

Shahin Gheybe’s Instagram is no longer public, but due to his ‘rather welcoming door policy’³¹² he has over 5.000 Instagram followers, making the profile seem less private than a private account would suggest, arguably diminishing the norm of confidentiality.³¹³

Nevertheless, Bellingcat’s action of accessing, downloading and analysing all the photos of Shahin Gheybe’s Instagram, seems inappropriate because of the contextual norm of confidentiality that surrounds personal Instagram accounts. Even if Shahin Gheybe accepts follow requests easily, exposing his private Instagram account, allowing someone to access one’s Instagram content is not the same as allowing someone to download and doxx the content of one’s Instagram account.

According to social norms, Shahin Gheybe should have had a choice in the further distribution of his personal pictures outside of Instagram. Therefore, the norms of distribution were violated when Bellingcat downloaded and doxxed Shahin Gheybe’s Instagram as part of its research, without consulting Shahin Gheybe on it. The fact that Shahin Gheybe’s Instagram was public at the time of acquiring his personal information and the fact that Shahin Gheybe accepts follow requests easily, giving access to his Instagram account, cannot negate the norm of confidentiality for further distribution of his personal information.³¹⁴

It would be unreasonable to expect Shahin Gheybe to take into account the possibility of a civilian organisation downloading and analysing his personal data for investigative research against him, to consider the consequences of this research and possible findings and the impact these might have on his privacy. Even if Shahin Gheybe recognized Henk van Ess’ name as a Bellingcat contributor when he accepted Henk van Ess’ follow request, it is unlikely that Shahin Gheybe also meant to give Bellingcat indefinite access to

³¹¹ If someone’s Instagram account is on ‘private’ mode, only their followers can see their posts and live-stories.

³¹² Email from Bellingcat contributor and author of Bellingcat’s article on Shahin Gheybe, Henk van Ess to author (23 July 2019), see appendix I for email correspondence.

³¹³ Instagram, account ‘shahin.mzr’ <www.instagram.com/shahin.mzr/>, accessed 24 July 2019.

³¹⁴ Like Bellingcat did by means of doxxing and publishing their article on Shahin Gheybe’s last known location in Iran.

his account, which is effectively what happened now as Bellingcat downloaded all his Instagram content and doxxed a part of it on Bellingcat's website.

It can, therefore, be concluded that no privacy violation concerning the viewing and analysing of Shahin Gheybe's Instagram took place, as Shahin Gheybe first had his Instagram account on public and later gave permission to a Bellingcat contributor to view his private Instagram account. However, Bellingcat violated the norms of appropriateness and distribution in the context of their investigation into Shahin Gheybe by downloading and doxxing his personal Instagram account. This constitutes a violation of Shahin Gheybe's privacy.

The situation does potentially allow for a justification of the privacy breach, as Bellingcat acted in pursuit of investigative research on a convicted violent criminal, therefore aiding international security and public interest. Especially considering Shahin Gheybe's Instagram was vital in Bellingcat's effort to localize him, the publication of some of the personal content of Shahin Gheybe's Instagram can be considered relevant for Bellingcat's article.

4.1. Mrs. Nasiri

In Bellingcat's efforts to trace Shahin Gheybe, information was also accessed and collected concerning the people aiding Shahin Gheybe in his fugitive lifestyle. Some additional remarks can be made on Mrs. Nasiri who is named a few times throughout Bellingcat's article.³¹⁵

The house that Bellingcat identified as Shahin Gheybe's last known location, belongs to a certain Mrs. Nasiri. Bellingcat did not release her full name in their article, but they did reveal the exact coordinates of the house and posted a link to her Instagram account in their article. Moreover, Bellingcat revealed that Mrs. Nasiri works as a lawyer and recently married Shahin Gheybe's best friend³¹⁶ – which wedding Shahin Gheybe attended – clearly showing that research into her private life had also taken place in Bellingcat's search for Shahin Gheybe's last known location. Bellingcat fulfils a role as a public watchdog informing the public on the whereabouts of a fugitive – possibly dangerous – convict, but it

³¹⁵ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 2 August 2019.

³¹⁶ Bellingcat does not release his full name either in their article, see: Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 2 August 2019.

seems uncertain what the importance is of Mrs. Nasiri's profession and marital status to the research on Shahin Gheybe or their role as public watchdog.³¹⁷ However, Mrs. Nasiri's Instagram account was on private-mode and her Instagram profile picture did not depict a recognizable picture.³¹⁸ Moreover, quick searches on other social media or internet channels did not lead easily to more personal information on Mrs. Nasiri.³¹⁹ Therefore, it remains questionable whether the information Bellingcat published, is personal enough for a breach of the norms of appropriateness to have taken place.

Assessing whether the norms of distribution have been violated is more difficult in the case of Mrs. Nasiri as Bellingcat's article does not state everything they could have found, accessed and analysed, nor how they researched her. This makes it difficult to assess whether it was a confidentiality norm governing the personal informational or another norm.

5. The Legal Conceptualization of OSINT: Proxies of Privacy

Social norms, like the norms of appropriateness and distribution, can be a useful means to assess privacy breaches in an online context. However, in order for legal protection of privacy to take place, transposition into law is necessary. Otherwise, other types of justice will be evoked such as types of vigilante justice, which can be skewed, disproportionate or unfair in their application.³²⁰ A possible legal framework should aim to counter this and create a reasonable, fair and foreseeable regulation on privacy breaches caused by seeking, downloading, analysing or doxxing seemingly public information by civilians.

Many of the theories on privacy are not directly translatable into law.³²¹ The focus on social norms and practices of appropriateness and distribution can serve as a theoretical solution, but due to its normative nature it would create legal uncertainty if the theory were to be literally transposed into a legal regulation. Therefore, it needs to be translated into workable legal definitions. In order to do so, the law uses proxies of privacy as a means to protect it legally.

Proxies do not encompass privacy as a whole. Instead, they symbolise parts of privacy

³¹⁷ Henk van Ess, 'Locating the Netherlands' Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram' *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 2 August 2019.

³¹⁸ Instagram, account 'Mrs. Nasiri's' <www.instagram.com/mrs__nasiri_/> accessed 14 July 2019.

³¹⁹ Based on the author's own Facebook, LinkedIn and Google searches (14 July 2019).

³²⁰ See chapter III paragraph 2 on the changing landscape of justice administration and chapter V paragraph 4 on the benefits and downsides of online vigilante justice.

³²¹ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 8 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

in order for privacy to be more tangible and effectively protected.³²² These more concrete aspects of privacy are protected in the law. There are three different approaches to shape these proxies, focusing on either the protection of the container of privacy, the substance of privacy, or the protection of certain personal contacts.³²³

An example of a container as a proxy of privacy is one's home.³²⁴ The substance of privacy as a proxy would be the protection of someone's correspondence³²⁵ or personal data.³²⁶ The third category refers to privileges like the functional privilege between a lawyer and his client, but this third category is less relevant for the discussion on OSINT.³²⁷ The general privacy protection regime embodied in the right to a private life³²⁸ serves as an overarching protection mechanism, useful for situations in which the privacy proxies do not apply.³²⁹

The current proxies present in the law are based on the traditional principles of privacy protection,³³⁰ and are therefore ill-equipped to deal with a digitalizing society. This is reflected in the fact that there are currently no suitable proxies present in the law that embody the specific privacy paradox inherent in OSINT.³³¹

It is useful to focus on the sources of OSINT, the containers, as a proxy of privacy. Focusing on the substance of privacy as a proxy could also be useful, but in a context of civilian investigations, it will arguably be too difficult to regulate this inherently normative concept. Civilians do not have the same type of training that governmental investigators receive, hence it is desirable to create a clear and simple regulation on the use of publicly available sources by civilians.

Focusing on a container of privacy is more concrete and seems, therefore, more fitting. In the next paragraph a new legal proxy of privacy, as proposed by Koops,³³² is

³²² Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 5 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

³²³ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 9 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

³²⁴ As codified in article 8(1) ECHR; Article 12 Dutch Constitution.

³²⁵ As codified in article 8(1) ECHR; Article 13 Dutch Constitution.

³²⁶ As codified in the GDPR. See also: Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 5 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

³²⁷ Article 165(2)(b) Dutch Code of Civil Procedure.

³²⁸ Article 8 ECHR; Article 10(1) Dutch Constitution.

³²⁹ Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 5 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

³³⁰ See paragraph 2 of this chapter for more background on the traditional principles of privacy protection and the difficulties in applying them to our contemporary society.

³³¹ As discussed in this chapter in paragraph 3 on OSINT, Contextual Integrity and Privacy Protection.

³³² Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 10 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019); Bert-Jaap Koops, 'Digitaal huisrecht' (2017) 3 *Nederlands juristenblad*, 183 – 187.

analysed to see whether it is fit for our contemporary society. Moreover, its relation to OSINT will also be discussed.

6. A New Proxy of Privacy: The Digital Home

A revised version of the ‘home’ as a container of privacy has recently been introduced by Koops, called the digital home.³³³ Just like our physical home is protected in law, our digital home would likewise be secured. It would give every individual the right to decide who can access his or her personal cyberspace. Personal cyberspace can be defined as the cyberspace that one has agency over.³³⁴

Personal social media accounts, including those that are public, would therefore fall within this personal cyberspace. One’s house is a depiction of one’s privacy expectation at home and likewise is someone’s personal social media account a portrayal of their private sphere and personal identity online. To create some nuance in the privacy expectations, without creating legal uncertainty, the exact privacy expectation attached to one’s social media account should depend on clearly determined factors.

These factors should be based on the norms of appropriateness and distribution of contextual integrity. In practice, this should include factors like whether one has a public or private social media account, the number of followers or friends one has and whether a person uses the account for commercial or personal purposes.

For example, a social media influencer with a public social media account that makes money displaying (aspects of) her personal life online, uses social media professionally and therefore has a different expectation of the distribution of her personal information and privacy, in comparison to someone who uses social media in a purely private manner.

Following this reasoning, a non-professional, personal social media account in private-mode, with a definite number of followers or friends that one knows in real life – for example less than a few hundred – should receive the most privacy protection, as this person intended to put personal content online only for his or her friends to see.

This combination of the privacy proxy ‘the digital home’ and contextual integrity to fill in the requirements provides for a tangible framework that can be used to judge online privacy violations. However, the problem with publicly available sources is that personal

³³³ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 10 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019); Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Digitaal huisrecht’ (2017) 3 *Nederlands juristenblad*, 183 – 187.

³³⁴ Bert-Jaap Koops, ‘Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw’ (2019) 68 *Ars Aequi* 1, 10 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

information can also be found on websites owned by other parties, sometimes leaving individuals with no means to allow or refuse access to the information at stake.

To solve this, the law could legally attribute agency to individuals over specific cyberspaces within the digital home, that will always contain personal information. A few examples of specific personal cyberspaces are social media accounts, game accounts and personal (public) blogs. By explicitly defining the cyberspaces individuals should have agency over, the power that platform providers, service providers and other internet companies can exercise over one's personal information will diminish. This interpretation of the digital home would not only protect the cyberspace that one has agency over but the cyberspace that one *should* have agency over.

Another challenge to the digital home is the control of access, even if one's agency over certain personal cyberspaces is legally protected. As soon as a person gives someone permission to access their personal information in a certain cyberspace, like a social media account, in practice it often seems to imply eternal access. It is possible to remove someone as one's friend or follower or even block them, but when someone has access to a person's personal cyberspace, they also have the opportunity to download or doxx the information, even if norms of distribution argue that permission for downloading or doxxing should be given separately.³³⁵ To solve this, downloading or doxxing personal information without the permission of the subject involved should be made illegal by law, although in practice it will be difficult to oversee and keep track of this.

Notwithstanding, creating a right to a digital home is a useful proxy of privacy. Specific cyberspaces that generate mainly personal data, like social media accounts, could be named explicitly in the law. This will formalize the right of every individual to decide who can access, analyse, download or doxx personal information from his or her personal cyberspace.

Permission to access someone's personal information and permission to download or doxx someone's personal information should be requested separately. The law should also leave room for protection of other, undefined personal cyberspaces that have yet to arise, so the right to a digital home can adapt to technological developments in the future.

Even though the monitoring of these rules will undoubtedly pose challenges of its own, this legal framework serves as a useful attempt to protect personal information online and provides more clarity on the use of OSINT in civilian criminal investigations.

³³⁵ As happened to Shahin Gheybe, see paragraph 4 of this chapter on the case study of Shahin Gheybe.

7. Sub-conclusion

Contextual integrity as a concept is useful for the discussion on OSINT and privacy in a public context. The theory of privacy as contextual integrity argues that every aspect of information has some notion of privacy attached to it and therefore true ‘open’ sources or ‘public’ information, does not exist. Moreover, contextual integrity shows the importance of social norms and social practices.

Social norms and practices ought to be taken into account when creating legal regulations for privacy protection. In connection to the proposed digital home right, contextual integrity can be used to create nuances in the privacy expectation of one’s personal cyberspace and to create tangible requirements to judge privacy violations. This legal framework serves as a first attempt to regulate OSINT in civilian criminal investigations.

Conclusion

This thesis aimed to answer the following research question: do civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT impact the privacy of their suspects and if so, how can their privacy be protected?

This thesis found that the privacy of suspects of civilians' criminal investigations using OSINT is compromised due to a lack of specific regulations governing both traditional and civilian criminal investigations and their use of OSINT specifically. The renewed Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure will establish much needed clarity by means of a legal basis for the systematic use of digital publicly available sources for traditional criminal investigations.

For civilian criminal investigations, no such regulation is currently in the making, even though the impact civilians can have on someone's privacy by use of OSINT is substantial. This is worrisome in light of the changing landscape of criminal investigations, which shows an increasing role for civilians in criminal investigations and the emergence of vigilante justice as an alternative for governmental justice administration.

Civilian criminal investigations can be an effective addition to traditional law enforcement as long as legal safeguards are in place to ensure sustainable use of investigative tools. However, vigilante justice should be avoided due to its arbitrary and often capricious nature. Civilians are unsuitable to administer justice due to their non-professional capacity. Civilians are untrained and lack impartiality. Moreover, no safeguards exist against unfair justice administering by civilians, like our governmental legal system has built-in when administering justice in a courtroom.

It is important to prevent lawbreaking to detect lawbreaking. Civilians aiding in criminal investigations should therefore be allowed, or even encouraged, as long as the law is respected and the trial takes place in a court instead of on social media platforms.

This thesis suggests a combination of contextual integrity and the digital home right to protect the privacy of suspects in civilians' criminal investigations by means of OSINT. This would combat the privacy paradox and ensure fair use of OSINT in criminal investigations, allowing for a just balance between investigation interests and privacy concerns.

For Further Research

Governance relies on the existence of clearly defined communities to exercise its power. Traditionally, sovereignty is exercised over physical areas, founded on agreements with the people themselves.³³⁶ These rules and regulations are made by national governments, based on the power they exercise overland through physical borders.³³⁷

However, regulating OSINT in civilian criminal investigations, by means of the proposed legal privacy protection, will not serve as a perfect solution *inter alia* due to the transboundary nature of OSINT and the Internet in general.

To ensure proper privacy protection in the digital sphere, one needs to look at transnational regulatory options to ensure privacy protection in civilian criminal investigations using OSINT. This goes beyond the scope of this master thesis, but further researched on this topic is undoubtedly needed.

Closing Remarks

In a working democratic society, civil society involvement is necessary, both to aid and to counter the power of the government and point out abuses in society. The power in criminal investigations should therefore remain balanced.

In an ideal society, citizens would balance between reporting relevant information to the police, about other civilians or the state, publishing information independently themselves and leaving room for traditional law enforcement, in the appropriate moments. It is useful to keep this ideal in mind when drafting legislation on OSINT's use in investigations.

Summarized accurately, 'citizen responsibility must be responsibly done'.³³⁸

³³⁶ In democratic societies that is.

³³⁷ Joel R. Reidenberg, 'Governing Networks and Rule-Making in Cyberspace' (1996) 45 Emory L.J. 911, 913 and 914.

³³⁸ Gary T Marx, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes' (2013) 11 IEEE Security & Privacy 56, 60.

Bibliography

Primary Sources

Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union, 2000/C 364/01.

Convention on Cybercrime [2001] ETS 185.

Directive (EU) 2016/680 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by competent authorities for the purposes of the prevention, investigation, detection or prosecution of criminal offences or the execution of criminal penalties and on the free movement of such data and repealing Council Framework Decision 2008/977/JHA (Police Directive).

Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure (*Wetboek van Strafvordering*).

Dutch Code of Civil Procedure (*Wetboek van Burgerlijke rechtsvordering*).

Dutch Police Act 2012 (*De Politiewet 2012*).

HR 3067, introduced in the House of Representatives (June 27 2017).

Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation).

‘Uitvoeringswet Algemene verordening gegevensbescherming’ (UAVG), the Dutch implementing law integrating *inter alia* article 23 GDPR.

Wet Bijzondere Opsporingsbevoegdheden, Staatsblad, 1999, 245.

Secondary Sources

- Banks I and Ten Hulsen L, 'Human Rights Weekend: Artificial Intelligence, Big Data & Human Rights: Progress or Setback?' (2019) 11 *Amsterdam Law Forum*, 70 – 78.
- Best C, 'Open Source Intelligence' in Fogelman-Soulié F, Perrotta D, Piskorski J and Steinberger R (eds), *Mining Massive Data Sets for Security: Advances in Data Mining, Search, Social Networks and Text Mining and Their Applications to Security* (IOS Press 2008).
- Bozdag E and van den Hoven J, 'Breaking The Filter Bubble: Democracy And Design' (2015) 17 *Ethics and Information Technology*, 249 – 265.
- Brinkhoff S, 'Datamining in een Veranderende Wereld van Opsporing en Vervolging' (2017) 3 *Tijdschrift voor Bijzonder Strafrecht & Handhaving* 224 – 227.
- Commissie Modernisering Opsporingsonderzoek in het Digitale Tijdperk, *Regulering van Opsporingsbevoegdheden in een Digitale Omgeving* (s.l. 2018), 1 – 210.
- Chang L Y C, Zhong L Y and Grabosky P N, 'Citizen Co-Production of Cyber Security: Self-Help, Vigilantes and Cybercrime' (2016) 12 *Regulation & Governance*, 101 – 114.
- Cybercrime Convention Committee (T-CY), 'T-CY Guidance Note # 3 Transborder Access to Data (Article 32)' (Council of Europe 2014) <<https://rm.coe.int/09000016802e727e>> accessed 8 July 2019, 1 – 8.
- Van Dijk J, 'Datafication, Dataism and Dataveillance: Big Data between Scientific Paradigm and Ideology' (2014) 12 *Surveillance & Society* 197 – 208.
- Dworkin R, *Law's Empire* (Harvard University Press 1986).
- Emaus J, 'Rechten, Beginselen en Horizontale Directe Werking van de Grondrechten uit het EU-Handvest' (2015) 10 *NTBR* 67 – 76.
- Engelfriet A, *De wet op Internet* (edition 2017-2018 Ius Mentis 2018), 12 – 25, 121 – 145.
- European Commission, 'Data protection in the EU' <https://ec.europa.eu/info/law/law-topic/data-protection/data-protection-eu_en> accessed 13 June 2019.
- Feenstra M, 'Opsporingsmiddelen in de Ontwikkeling: Openbronnen-Onderzoek als de Nieuwe 'Tap' (2018) 97 *PROCES*, 367 – 375.
- Glassman M & Kang M J, 'Intelligence in the Internet Age: The Emergence and Evolution of Open Source Intelligence (OSINT)' (2012) 28(2) *Computers in Human Behavior*, 673 – 682.
- Huey L, Nhan J and Broll R, 'Uppity Civilians' And 'Cyber-Vigilantes': The Role Of The General Public In Policing Cyber-Crime' (2012) 13 *Criminology & Criminal Justice*, 81 – 97.

Ten Hulsen L and Mard S, 'Coding and Conceptualizing Technology in the Future of Law and Legal Practice: An Overview of the ALF Annual Seminar 2019' (2019) 11 Amsterdam Law Forum 76 – 84.

Janoff-Bulman R, Timko C and Carli L L, 'Cognitive Biases in Blaming the Victim' (1985) 21 Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 161-177.

Kilkelly U, 'The Right to Respect for Private and Family Life. A Guide to the Implementation of Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights' (2003) 1 Council of Europe Human Rights Handbooks 1 – 72.

Klang M and Boston U, 'On The Internet Nobody Can See Your Cape: The Ethics of Online Vigilantism' (2015) AoIR 1 – 3.

Van Klink B and Taekema S, 'On the Border. Limits and Possibilities of Interdisciplinary Research' in: Van Klink B and Taekema S (eds), *Law and Method. Interdisciplinary Research into Law* (Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck 2011), 8 – 32.

Van Klink B and Poort L, 'De Normativiteit van de Rechtswetenschap' (2013) 6 RM Themis, 258 – 278.

Klitou D, 'Privacy-Invading Technologies: Safeguarding Privacy, Liberty & Security in the 21st Century' [2012] Centre for Law in the Information Society, Faculty of Law, Leiden University, 1– 400.

Koops B-J, Hoepman J-H and Leenes R, 'Open-Source Intelligence and Privacy by Design' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review, 676 – 688.

Koops B-J, 'Police Investigations in Internet Open Sources: Procedural-Law Issues' (2013) 29 Computer Law & Security Review, 654 – 665.

Koops B-J, 'Digitaal huisrecht' (2017) 3 Nederlands juristenblad, 183 – 187.

Koops B-J, 'Privacyconcepten voor in de 21^e Eeuw' (2019) 68 Ars Aequi, 1 – 15 (this thesis used the forthcoming version of this article sent by the author in April 2019).

Lee E, 'The Right to Be Forgotten v. Free Speech' (2015) 12 I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society 85 – 111.

Lodder A R and Schuilenburg M B, 'Politie-webcrawlers en Predictive Policing', (2016) 81 Computerrecht 150 – 154.

Marwick A, Fontaine C and Boyd D, "‘Nobody Sees It, Nobody Gets Mad’": Social Media, Privacy and Personal Responsibility Among Low-SES Youth' (2017) 3 Social Media + Society 1, 1 – 14.

Marx G T, 'The Public as a Partner? Technology Can Make Us Auxiliaries as well as Vigilantes', (2013) 11 IEEE Security & Privacy, 56 – 61.

Maslarić M, Nikoličić S and Mirčetić D, 'Logistics Response to the Industry 4.0: The Physical Internet' (2016) 6(1) Open Engineering, 511 – 517.

Mayer-Schoenberger V and Cukier K, 'Big Data. A Revolution That Will Transform How We Live, Work and Think' (2014) 179 Oxford University Press, 157 – 160.

Moerman E, 'Burgers in het Digitale Opsporingstijdperk' [2019] NJB 2019/94, 1-9.

De Montjoye Y A, Hidalgo C A, Verleysen M, & Blondel V D, 'Unique in The Crowd: The Privacy Bounds of Human Mobility' (2013) 3 Scientific Reports, 1 – 5.

Nhan J, Huey L and Broll R, 'Digilantism: An Analysis of Crowdsourcing and the Boston Marathon Bombings' (2017) 57 British Journal of Criminology, 341– 361.

Nissenbaum H, 'Privacy as Contextual Integrity' (2004) 79 Washington Law Review 119 – 158.

Oerlemans J J, 'Beschouwing Rapport Commissie-Koops: Strafvordering het Digitale Tijdperk' [2018] Boom Juridisch, 1 – 28.

Palvai R, 'Internet Vigilantism, Ethics and Democracy' (2016) 1 Anveshana's International Journal of Research in Regional Studies, Law, Social Sciences, Journalism and Management Practices, 124 – 128.

Pittman J, 'Privacy in the Age of Doxxing' (2018) 10 Southern Journal of Business & Ethics, 53- 59.

Reidenberg J R, 'Governing Networks and Rule-Making in Cyberspace' (1996) 45 Emory L.J. 911 – 930.

Robbennolt J K and Studebaker C A, 'News Media Reporting on Civil Litigation and Its Influence on Civil Justice Decision Making.' (2003) 27 Law and Human Behavior, 5 – 27.

Schwartz P M and Peifer K-N, 'Transatlantic Data Privacy Law' (2017) Geo. L. J. 115 – 179.

Spaventa E, 'Fundamental Rights in the European Union' in Catherine Barnard and Steve Peers (eds), *European Union Law* (Oxford university press 2014), 226 – 254.

Stol W and Strikwerda L, 'Online Vergaren van Informatie voor Opsporingsonderzoek' (2018) 17 Tijdschrift voor Veiligheid 8 – 22.

Taylor N, 'State Surveillance and The Right To Privacy' (2002) 1 Surveillance & Society, 66 – 85.

Waldman A E, 'Privacy, Sharing and Trust: The Facebook Study' (2016) 67 Case Western Reserve Law Review 193 – 233.

Westerman P and Wissink M, 'Rechtsgeleerdheid als rechtswetenschap' (2008) 9 Nederlands Juristenblad, 503 – 507.

Internet Sources

Bellingcat – ‘About’ <www.bellingcat.com/about/> accessed 26 March 2019.

Bellingcat, ‘Making a Complaint’ <www.bellingcat.com/contact/> accessed 30 July 2019.

Beukers G, ‘Onderzoekscollectief Bellingcat komt naar Nederland’ *De Volkskrant* (2 November 2018) <www.volkskrant.nl/nieuws-achtergrond/onderzoekscollectief-bellingcat-komt-naar-nederland~be070e83/> accessed 27 March 2019.

CBS, ‘Internet; Toegang, Gebruik en Faciliteiten’ (31 October 2018) <<https://statline.cbs.nl/Statweb/publication/?DM=SLNL&PA=83429NED&D1=0,2-5&D2=0,3-6&D3=0&D4=a&HDR=T&STB=G1,G2,G3&VW=T>> accessed 28 March 2019.

ECtHR, ‘Guide on Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights - Right to Respect for Private and Family Life (Council of Europe 30 April 2019), 1 – 123 <www.echr.coe.int/Documents/Guide_Art_8_ENG.pdf> accessed 2 August 2019.

Van Ess H, ‘Locating the Netherlands’ Most Wanted Criminal by Scrutinizing Instagram’ *Bellingcat* (19 March 2019) <www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/> accessed 28 March 2019.

‘The EUROSINT Forum’ <www.eurosint.eu> accessed 20 March 2019.

‘EyeWitness Project’ <<https://www.eyewitnessproject.org/>> accessed 29 July 2019.

‘Global Freedom of Speech Columbia University’ <<https://globalfreedomofexpression.columbia.edu/cases/von-hannover-v-germany-no-2/>> accessed 19 June 2019.

Graat H, ‘Politie Wil Hulp van 'Burgerrechercheurs' bij Opsporing’ *De Gelderlander* (25 August 2018) <www.gelderlander.nl/arnhem/politie-wil-hulp-van-burgerrechercheurs-bij-opsporing-br-br~a843f0f6/> accessed 28 July 2019.

‘The IEEE ISI’ <www.ieee-itss.org/isi> accessed 20 March 2019.

IMPRESS, ‘Standards Code’ <www.impress.press/standards/> accessed 29 July 2019.

IMPRESS, ‘FAQ’ <www.impress.press/about-us/faq.html#relationship-between-impress-government> accessed 30 July 2019.

Instagram, account ‘Shahin.mzr’ <www.instagram.com/shahin.mzr/> accessed 28 March 2019.

Instagram, account ‘Mrs. Nasiri’s’ <https://www.instagram.com/mrs__nasiri_/> accessed 14 July 2019.

Lindeman P, ‘Burgers Die Zelf Misdrijven Oplossen Onontkoombare Trend, ‘Als Ze Maar Niet Eigen Rechter Spelen’ *De Gelderlander* (19 April 2019)

<www.gelderlander.nl/enschede/burgers-die-zelf-misdrijven-oplossen-onontkoombare-trend-als-ze-maar-niet-eigen-rechter-spelen~a46c3d76/> accessed 29 July 2019.

Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Wetsvoorstel tot Vaststelling van Boek 2 van het Nieuwe Wetboek van Strafvordering. Het Opsporingsonderzoek' (Rijksoverheid 2017) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/kamerstukken/2017/02/07/wetsvoorstel-tot-vestiging-van-boek-2-van-het-nieuwe-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019.

Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Tijdpad Traject Modernisering Wetboek van Strafvordering' (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering/tijdpad-traject-modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering> accessed 20 June 2019.

Ministerie van Justitie en Veiligheid, 'Concept-wetsvoorstel en MvT Boek 2 Onderdeel Opsporing in een Digitale Omgeving' (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/publicaties/2019/02/07/concept-wetsvoorstel-en-mvt-boek-2-onderdeel-opsporing-in-een-digitale-omgeving> accessed 20 June 2019.

Minister van Justitie en Veiligheid en Minister voor Rechtsbescherming, 'Kamerbrief met Voortgangsrapportage Modernisering Wetboek van Strafvordering en Update Contourennota' (Rijksoverheid 2019) <www.rijksoverheid.nl/onderwerpen/modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering/documenten/kamerstukken/2019/04/09/tk-voortgangsrapportage-modernisering-wetboek-van-strafvordering-en-update-van-de-contourennota> accessed 25 June 2019.

Nationale Opsporingslijst – Shahin Gheiybe', *Politie.nl* <www.politie.nl/gezocht-en-vermist/nationale-opsporingslijst/2019/maart/shahin-gheiybe.html> accessed 28 March 2019.

NOS, 'politie en OM gaan speurende burger met app begeleiden' *NOS.nl* (27 May 2019) <<https://nos.nl/artikel/2286469-politie-en-om-gaan-speurende-burger-met-app-begeleiden.html>> accessed 27 May 2019.

'Ontsnapte Gevangene Shahin Gheiybe (35) op Nationale Opsporingslijst' *Avrotros Opsporing verzocht* (5 March 2019) <<https://opsporingverzocht.avrotros.nl/zaken/zaak/ontsnapte-gevangene-shahin-gheiybe-35-op-nationale-opsporingslijst/>> accessed 28 March 2019.

Ontsnapte Shahin Gheiybe (35) op Nationale Opsporingslijst' *Opsporing Verzocht YouTube channel* (5 March 2019) <www.youtube.com/watch?v=M8LFA7XOv8U> accessed 28 March 2019.

Politie, 'Politie en OM Lanceren App voor Burgeronderzoek' *Politie.nl* (27 May 2019) <www.politie.nl/nieuws/2019/mei/27/00-politie-en-om-lanceren-app-voor-burgeronderzoek.html> accessed 7 June 2019.

Pool H, *Bellingcat - Truth in a Post-Truth World* (VPRO 2Doc Documentary 2018) <www.2doc.nl/documentaires/series/2doc/2018/november/bellingcat.html> accessed 27 March 2019.

Quekel S, 'Gezochte 'gangster' Schoot Zijn Zakenpartners Bijna Dood in Den Bosch: Wat Gebeurde er Tijdens de Deal?' *Algemeen Dagblad* (6 March 2019) <www.ad.nl/den-bosch/gezochte-gangster-schoot-zijn-zakenpartners-bijna-dood-in-den-bosch-wat-gebeurde-er-tijdens-de-deal-br~a4004741/> accessed 27 July 2019.

Quekel S, 'Rosmalense 'Treitercrimineel' Gheiybe Blijft Voortvluchtig en Tart op Instagram Ook de Media' *Algemeen Dagblad* (19 maart 2019) <www.ad.nl/den-bosch/rosmalense-treitercrimineel-gheiybe-blijft-voortvluchtig-en-tart-op-instagram-ook-de-media~a6f4a988/> accessed 27 July 2019.

Quekel S, 'Crimineel Die Zich Bij Echtbaar Verstopte Tijdens Klopjacht Zegt 'Sorry'' *Algemeen Dagblad* (22 April 2019) <www.ad.nl/binnenland/crimineel-die-zich-bij-echtpaar-verstopte-tijdens-klopjacht-zegt-sorry~a6a910960/> accessed 27 July 2019.

Salami E, 'The Impact of Directive (EU) 2016/680 on the Processing of Personal Data by Competent Authorities for the Purposes of the Prevention, Investigation, Detection or Prosecution of Criminal Offences or the Execution of Criminal Penalties and on the Free Movement of Such Data on the Existing Privacy Regime' (2017) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.29124491>> accessed 4 August 2019, 1 – 19.

Sedee M, 'Bellingcat-oprichter: 'Wij Helpen Degenen aan de Andere Kant' *NRC* (2 November 2018) <www.nrc.nl/nieuws/2018/11/02/bellingcat-oprichter-wij-helpen-degenen-aan-de-andere-kant-a2753704> accessed 27 March 2019.

Taekema S 'Relative Autonomy: A Characterization of the Discipline of Law' (2010) SSRN <<http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1579992>> accessed 4 August 2019, 1 – 20.

Twitter, account 'Henkvaness', <https://twitter.com/henkvaness/status/1108679041274560512/photo/1?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw%7Ctwcamp%5Etweetembed%7Ctwterm%5E1108679041274560512&ref_url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.bellingcat.com%2Fnews%2Fuk-and-europe%2F2019%2F03%2F19%2Flocating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram%2F> accessed 28 March 2019.

European Case Law

Case C-176/12, *Association de médiation sociale*, CJEU 2014, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2.

Case C-131/12, *Google Spain SL v. Costeja* CJEU 2014 ECR 317, ECLI:EU:C:2014:317.

Case C-362/14, *Maximillian Schrems v Data Protection Commissioner* CJEU 2015, ECLI:EU:C:2015:650.

European Court of Human Rights Case Law

Marckx v. Belgium App no 6833/74 (ECtHR 13 June 1979), ECLI:CE:ECHR:1979:0613JUD000683374.

Silver v. United Kingdom App nos 5947/72, 6205/73, 7052/75, 7061/75, 7107/75, 7113/75 and 7136/75 (ECtHR, 25 March 1983), ECLI:CE:ECHR:1983:0325JUD000594772.

Von Hannover v. Germany (No. 1) App no 59320/00 (ECtHR, 24 June 2004), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2004:0624JUD005932000.

Von Hannover v. Germany (No. 2) App nos 40660/08 and 60641/08 (ECtHR, 7 February 2012), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2012:0207JUD004066008.

White v. Sweden App no 42435/02 (ECtHR 19 September 2006), ECLI:CE:ECHR:2006:0919JUD004243502.

Dutch Case Law

HR 4 April 2017 *Smartphone-arrest*, ECLI:NL:HR:2017:584.

Hof Den Haag 25 May 2018, ECLI:NL:GHDHA:2018:1248.

Appendix: Email Correspondence with Henk van Ess

I. First email to Bellingcat

Question about the article on Shahin Gheyibe

Leonore th

Di 23-7-2019 13:23

Aan: contact@bellingcat.com <contact@bellingcat.com>

Dear Bellingcat,

I have a question on an article you wrote in March 2019 on a Dutch most-wanted criminal called Shahin Gheyibe. I was wondering if you could tell me whether Shahin Gheyibe's Instagram account was still public or already put on private when you used the plug-in for Chrome (Downloader for Instagram) to download his Instagram content?

The reason why I am curious, is because I am writing a master thesis on OSINT and privacy and am using your research on Shahin Gheyibe as a case study. It would be very helpful for my research. Thank you in advance for your help and time.

Kind regards,

Leonore ten Hulsen

II. First response from Bellingcat (Bellingcat contributor Henk van Ess)

Question

Henk van Ess <voelspriet@gmail.com>

Di 23-7-2019 15:15

Aan: Eliot Higgins <eliothiggins@bellingcat.com>; leonore.TH@hotmail.com <leonore.TH@hotmail.com>

Hi,

Great to hear from you , studying #osint is a wise choice :)

So till the first story (where we revealed in a newspaper called [ad.nl](#) whom he met) was with open profile .

After the story ran, he closed his profile , but his "door policy" was rather welcoming, I was friended right away as were many others.

Henk

--

NETHERLANDS OFFICE:

Van Asch van Wijckstraat 9,

3811 LP Amersfoort,

The Netherlands

Office: 020 894 39 22 / +31 20 894 39 22

Mobile number: +31 615 22 0912

UK OFFICE

Unit 18426, PO Box 4336

Manchester, M61 0BW

Office Phone: 01245 79 0645

US OFFICE

4281 Express Lane, Suite L6825

34238 Sarasota, Florida

Office Phone: (225) 341-7595

New Media, Social Media & [Deep Web Research](#) Data journalism

Lecturer [Amsterdam](#) [Berlin](#) [Brussels](#) London [Oslo](#)

[Verification Handbook](#)

III. Second email to Bellingcat contributor Henk van Ess

Leonore th

Di 23-7-2019 15:39

Henk van Ess ✉



Hi Henk,

Thank you for your quick response! 😊

The article on Ad.nl that you refer to, is that this one? =><https://www.bd.nl/binnenland/op-zoek-naar-ontsnapte-treitercrimineel-gheybe-uit-rosmalen-online-makkelijk-te-vinden-maar-moeilijk-te-pakken~a4c70322/?referrer=https://www.ad.nl/den-bosch/rosmalense-treitercrimineel-gheybe-blijft-voortvluchtig-en-tart-op-instagram-ook-de-media~a6f4a988/>

And so if I understand correctly, the article on Bellingcat's website (<https://www.bellingcat.com/news/uk-and-europe/2019/03/19/locating-the-netherlands-most-wanted-criminal-by-scrutinising-instagram/>) used Shahin Gheybe's instagram account after it was private, but he accepted your follow request himself, therefore giving access to his profile with the pictures and videos used for your research? Or did the downloading of the information on his Instagram that was used for the investigation already take place when his Instagram account was still public?

Thank you for your help!

Kind regards,
Leonore

IV. Second response from Bellingcat contributor Henk van Ess



Henk van Ess <voelspriet@gmail.com>

Di 23-7-2019 16:49

Leonore th ✉



Half of the bellingcat story was made with open profile other half with closed